Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
May 10, 2021 - 1:10 p.m.  [Remote Hearing conducted via Webex]  RE: DW 20-156 PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding Temporary Rates)  PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
RE: DW 20-156 PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding Temporary Rates)  PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	3	
RE: DW 20-156 PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding Temporary Rates)  PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	4	May 10, 2021 - 1:10 p.m.
RE: DW 20-156 PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding Temporary Rates)  PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	5	[Remote Hearing conducted via Webex]
PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding Temporary Rates)  PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	6	DE. DW 20-156
PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	7	PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.:
PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	8	
Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey  Jody Carmody, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Remote Hearing Host  APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	9	DDFCFNT: Chairwoman Dianno H Martin Drosiding
APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	LO	, , ,
APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)  Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L1	
Reptg. the Towns of Londonderry, Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L2	
Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett, NH: Ryan P. Lirette, Esq. (Sheehan Phinney)  Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 3	
Reptg. 21 Homeowners in the Farmstead District as well as pro se: Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 4	
Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 5	
Andrew D. Myers, Esq. (Andrew D. Myers)  Richard M. Husband, Esq., pro se  Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 6	
Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 7	
D. Maurice Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 8	Richard M. Husband, Esq., <i>pro se</i>
Office of Consumer Advocate  Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	L 9	
Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water  Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	20	
Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	21	
	22	Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water
24	23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
	2 4	

1					
2	INDEX				
3	PAGE NO.				
4	STATEMENTS RE: MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION BY:				
5	Mr. Myers 9, 14				
6	Ms. Brown 11 Ms. Fabrizio 11				
7	Mr. Kreis 12 Chairwoman Martin 13, 14				
8	QUESTIONS BY:				
9	Chairwoman Martin 10				
10	Commissioner Bailey 13				
11	* * *				
12	WITNESS PANEL: LARRY D. GOODHUE				
13	DONALD L. WARE JAYSON P. LAFLAMME				
14	Direct examination by Ms. Brown (Goodhue/Ware) 15				
15	Direct examination by Ms. Fabrizio (Laflamme) 55 Cross-examination by Mr. Myers 72				
16	Interrogatories by Commissioner Bailey 73, 93, 96 Interrogatories by Chairwoman Martin 91, 95				
17	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:				
18	Mr. Kreis 97				
19	Ms. Fabrizio 98 Mr. Myers 100				
20	Mr. Lirette 102 Mr. Husband 102				
21	Ms. Brown 103, 106				
22	QUESTIONS BY:				
23	Chairwoman Martin 107, 108				
24					
-					

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Pennichuck East Utility, Inc., Rate Filing	premarked
5	2	Temporary Rate Settlement	nremarked
6	۷	Agreement	premarked
7	3	Pennichuck East Utility, Inc., 2019 Annual Report	premarked
8	4	RESERVED (Record request to	82
9	-	provide a corrected version of Attachment A, Schedule 1,	0 _
10		at Bates Page 010 of Exhibit	2)
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

#### PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're here this afternoon in Docket DW 20-156 for a hearing regarding the Pennichuck East Utility,
Incorporated, Petition for Temporary Rates.

We have to make some findings because this is a remote hearing.

As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities

Commission, I find that due to the State of

Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of

the COVID-19 pandemic, and in accordance with the

Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, pursuant to

Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is

authorized to meet electronically. Please note

that there is no physical location to observe and

listen contemporaneously to this hearing, which

was authorized pursuant to the Governor's

Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the

Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are

utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing.

All members of the Commission have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this hearing, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if necessary,

participate. We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the hearing in the Order of Notice. If anyone has a problem during the hearing, please call (603)271-2431. In the event the public is unable to access the hearing, the hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And we have to take a roll call attendance. My name is Dianne Martin. I am the Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission.

And I am alone.

Commissioner Bailey.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Good afternoon, everyone. Kathryn Bailey, Commissioner at the Public Utilities Commission. And I am alone.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And let's take appearances, starting with Attorney Brown.

MS. BROWN: Good afternoon, Chairwoman Martin and Commissioner Bailey. My name is Marcia Brown, with NH Brown Law. And with me today we'll be presenting as a panel for the exhibits, Mr. Larry Goodhue, who is the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Pennichuck East utility; also Don Ware, Chief

```
1
         Operating Officer of Pennichuck East Utility.
 2.
                   Also in attendance is Carol Ann Howe,
 3
         who's the Assistant Treasurer and Director of
 4
         Regulatory Affairs and Business Services; as well
 5
         as Jay Kerrigan, who's a Regulatory Treasury
 6
         Financial Analyst; George Torres, who is the
 7
         Company's Corporate Controller, Treasurer, and
         Chief Accounting Officer; and, lastly, Chris
 8
 9
         Countie, who is the Director of Water Supply and
10
         Community Systems.
11
                    Thank you.
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
                                               Thank you,
1.3
         Ms. Brown. And Mr. Kreis.
14
                   We can't hear you. Are you on mute?
15
         Still can't hear you.
16
                   MS. BROWN: The mike was green, but we
17
         couldn't hear you, Don.
18
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Were you able to
19
         hear him in the pre-hearing session? Okay.
20
                   MS. LEMAY: Jody, you can try unmuting
21
         him.
2.2
                   MR. KREIS: How about now?
23
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes. You're fine.
24
                   MR. KREIS:
                                Can't account for that.
```

```
1
         Good afternoon, everybody. I am Donald Kreis,
 2.
         the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf of
 3
         residential customers.
 4
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 5
         And Ms. Fabrizio.
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. Good
 7
         afternoon, Madam Chair and Commissioner Bailey.
         My name is Lynn Fabrizio. I'm a Staff Attorney
         here at the Commission. And I'm here today on
10
         behalf of Staff in this docket.
11
                   With me is Jayson Laflamme, Assistant
         Director of the Gas and Water Division at the
12
1.3
         Commission, who will be introduced as Staff's
14
         witness today.
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Great.
                                                Thank you.
16
                   And we have the Towns of Londonderry,
17
         Litchfield, Pelham, and Hooksett?
18
                   MR. LIRETTE: Good afternoon, everyone.
19
         My name is Ryan Lirette, from Sheehan, Phinney,
20
         Bass, & Green. And I am here on behalf of the
21
         Towns of Pelham, Litchfield, Londonderry, and
2.2
         Hooksett. I am alone.
23
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
24
         And Mr. Myers.
```

```
MR. MYERS: Good afternoon,
 1
 2.
         Commissioners. Attorney Andrew Myers, I'm here
 3
         in Derry. I represent myself and 21 other PEU
 4
         ratepayers. And I'm alone.
 5
                   Thank you.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
 7
         Mr. Myers. And we'll hear from you on that
         Motion to Clarify in a few minutes.
                   And who else do we have? Other
 9
10
         intervenors here to be heard today? Mr. Husband,
11
         I see you. Go ahead.
                   MR. HUSBAND: Okay. Good afternoon.
12
1.3
         This is Richard Husband. I am at my house.
14
         I am alone, except that my wife is upstairs
15
         working in another room.
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.
17
         Thank you.
18
                   And do we have any other intervenors as
19
         attendees or anyone else we need to hear from?
20
         Looks like this is everyone on the screen.
21
                    [No indication given.]
2.2
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Jody, no one else
23
         needing to be pulled up that you know of?
24
                   MS. CARMODY:
                                  No.
```

```
1
                                        Okay. Great.
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                                        All
 2
         right.
 3
                    Then, I have Exhibits 1 through 3
 4
         prefiled and premarked for identification. Any
 5
         other -- anything else related to exhibits?
 6
                    (Atty. Brown indicating in the
 7
                    negative.)
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
 8
                                               Seeing
 9
         none.
10
                    The other preliminary matter I have is
11
         related to Mr. Myers' Motion for Clarification.
12
         Mr. Myers, would you like to be heard on that?
1.3
                    MR. MYERS:
                                Sure.
                                       Thank you.
14
                    The correspondence from the Commission
         dated March 22nd, in my mind anyhow, maybe I'm
15
16
         wrong, indicated that, although I stated that I
17
         "represented 21 other residential ratepayers, the
18
         Commission has granted Mr. Myers' individual
19
         request to intervene only, and is not granting
20
         intervenor status to the 21 unidentified
21
         ratepayers."
2.2
                    And I never intended to have 21
23
         separate intervenors. I simply meant to file one
         Petition for Intervention. And I just sought
24
```

```
1
         clarification to note that there's only one
 2.
         Petition for Intervention, but, through that, I
 3
         still represent the interests of 21 PEU
 4
         ratepayers, who did, in fact, sign in support of
 5
         the petition.
 6
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Can I just ask you
 7
         a couple questions on that?
                    So, are you -- I think I asked you at
 8
 9
         the original -- at the prehearing conference,
10
         whether you were appearing on their behalf as
11
         their counsel?
12
                    MR. MYERS: Yes, ma'am.
1.3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And, so,
14
         they would actually each be intervenors, but you
15
         are their counsel and appearing on their behalf.
16
         Is that right?
17
                    MR. MYERS: Well, I didn't intend, and,
18
         again, I intended only to point out that I
19
         represent them, I have agreements with them.
                                                         The
20
         content of those agreements would be
21
         confidential. But they have all signed
2.2
         agreements with me to represent them. And I just
23
         want their voices to be heard.
24
                    They're not going to individually
```

```
intervene. I'm the only person that's
 1
 2
         intervening for them, as their counsel.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I think I
 4
         understand that you're their counsel, you're
 5
         representing them here today. But you are acting
 6
         on their behalf, as opposed to just in your own
 7
         interest?
                   MR. MYERS: Yes.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. All right.
 9
10
         Does anyone else want to be heard on that?
11
                                I'd just like to put --
                   MS. BROWN:
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
1.3
         Bailey, do you have any questions? Go ahead,
14
         Attorney Brown.
                   MS. BROWN: No, I just wanted to put
15
16
         the Company's position on the record, that
17
         there's no objection to this, I guess, structure
18
         of intervention request.
19
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anything
20
         from Staff?
2.1
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Staff, as the Company,
2.2
         has the same position that we expressed earlier.
23
         I'm still a little bit confused as to whether Mr.
24
         Myers expects the 21 others to be individually
```

```
1
         named in each filing, or whether he is
 2
         intervening himself and filing on behalf of the
 3
         others?
                    I'm not sure if that even makes sense.
 4
 5
         But we've had some back-and-forth, and there
 6
         remains a little bit of confusion on Staff's end.
 7
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I understand your
 8
         confusion. Oh, Mr. Kreis, go ahead, before I
 9
         jump in. You're on mute.
10
                    MR. KREIS: Okay. Am I on mute now?
11
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No, we can hear
12
         you.
1.3
                    MR. KREIS: I'm not really sure why
14
         this isn't working today.
15
                    I'm confused about why anybody else is
16
         confused. Mr. Myers has I think he said 21
17
         individual clients, each of whom has the standing
18
         to intervene in this case, because each of them
19
         is a customer. He himself is a customer. And he
20
         is an attorney, licensed to practice in New
21
         Hampshire, which means there's no question that
2.2
         he has the right to represent them.
23
                    I think that it might make sense to
24
         invoke the provision of the Administrative
```

Procedure Act that talks about grouping interventions, because clearly that group of 22 customers intends to act as one unit. And, as far as how to refer to them, I don't know, you could make up a name for that particular group.

1.3

2.2

But I am sort of puzzled. And I guess what I really want to say is, I hope the Commission will grant Mr. Myers's motion, because I think his request is a reasonable one.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Kreis. I agree with you on all of the legal statements you just made. I think Mr. Myers' statement was a little confusing to Attorney Fabrizio's point, which is that he's "only intervening himself", essentially.

But, based upon the representations in his original motion, and the attachment with all of the individual ratepayers, and the assertions therein, I agree with you. They would all have standing and the right to intervene.

I just want to make sure Commissioner
Bailey gets a chance to be heard, if she has any
comments on this.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Do we have the

```
1
         names of these 21 ratepayers?
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes.
                                              They're in
 3
         the original motion, the Petition to Intervene,
 4
         and the addresses and signatures.
 5
                    COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
 6
         I don't have any other questions.
 7
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And then, we
         will grant that Motion for Clarification.
 8
 9
         thought I recalled that discussion at the
10
         prehearing conference as well. So, I apologize
11
         for that lack of clarity in our letter.
12
                   MR. MYERS:
                                Thank you. And I apologize
1.3
         if there was any lack of clarity in what I had
14
         presented in my petition. But, thank you.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No. We all got on
15
16
         the same page at the end of the day. So, it
17
         works out.
18
                   Any other preliminary matters?
19
                    [No indication given.]
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
2.1
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Madam Chair, I would
2.2
         just note, it's in the record, but Intervenor
23
         Nicole Fordey withdrew her intervention, because
         she was moving out-of-state. I just wanted to
24
```

```
1
         bring that to your attention.
 2
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes. Noted.
 3
         you.
 4
                    All right. Let's have the witnesses
 5
         sworn in please, Mr. Patnaude.
 6
                    (Whereupon Larry D. Goodhue,
 7
                    Donald L. Ware, and Jayson P. Laflamme
 8
                    were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
 9
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
10
         Ms. Brown.
11
                    MS. BROWN: And, Commissioners, the
12
         Company is going to question its witnesses, and
         then hand it over to Staff with Mr. Laflamme.
1.3
14
         That's how we've agreed we would proceed with the
15
         panel.
16
                    LARRY D. GOODHUE, SWORN
17
                     DONALD L. WARE, SWORN
18
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
    BY MS. BROWN:
20
         So, Mr. Goodhue, could you please state your name
21
         for the record.
22
         (Goodhue) My name is Larry Donald Goodhue.
23
         And what positions do you hold with Pennichuck
24
         East Utility?
```

```
1
          (Goodhue) With Pennichuck East Utility, I hold
 2
         the positions of Chief Executive Officer and
 3
         Chief Financial Officer.
 4
         And Pennichuck East Utility has affiliates, is
 5
         that correct?
 6
         (Goodhue) That is correct.
 7
    Q
         And do you hold any positions with Pennichuck
         East affiliates?
 8
          (Goodhue) I hold positions of Chief Executive
 9
10
         Officer and Chief Financial Officer for the
11
         parent corporation, Pennichuck Corporation, and
         for the sister subsidiaries of Pennichuck East
12
13
         Utility, being Pennichuck Water Works,
14
         Incorporated, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company,
15
         Incorporated, Pennichuck Water Service Company,
16
         and the Southwood Corporation.
17
    Q
         Thank you, Mr. Goodhue. Before you held these
18
         multiple positions, were these positions held by
19
         multiple people?
20
         (Goodhue) Yes, they were.
    Α
21
         And does this present consolidation of
22
         responsibilities save the Company's expense,
23
         including Pennichuck East?
24
          (Goodhue) Yes.
                          Since I assumed the role of Chief
```

```
1
         Executive Officer near the end of 2015, I have
 2
         held two roles, and, in essence, we have saved
 3
         one salary during that interim period of time.
 4
         Thank you for that explanation. Mr. Ware, could
 5
         you please state your name for the record?
 6
         (Ware) Yes. My name is Donald Ware.
 7
         And can you please state your position for the
 8
         record for Pennichuck East Utility?
 9
         (Ware) I am the Chief Operating Officer for
10
         Pennichuck East Utility.
11
         And do you hold any positions with
    Q
12
         Pennichuck's -- Pennichuck East affiliates?
1.3
         (Ware) Yes. I am the Chief Operating Officer of
    Α
14
         Pennichuck Corporation, of Pennichuck Water
15
         Works, Inc., Pittsfield Aqueduct Company,
16
         Pennichuck Water Service Company, and the
17
         Southwood Corporation.
18
         Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. Goodhue, Mr. Ware, do
    Q
19
         you have Exhibit 1 in front of you? And, for the
20
         record, I'd like to note that Exhibit 1
21
         premarked for identification is the Company's
22
         rate filing.
23
         (Goodhue) This is Mr. Goodhue. I do have
24
         Exhibit 1 in front of me.
```

```
1
          (Ware) And this is Mr. Ware. I also have
 2
         Exhibit 1 in front of me.
 3
    Q
         Okay. Mr. Goodhue, are you familiar with the
 4
         contents of Exhibit 1?
 5
         (Goodhue) Yes, I am.
 6
         Mr. Ware, are you familiar with the contents of
 7
         Exhibit 1?
 8
         (Ware) Yes, I am.
         And, Mr. Ware, in particular, are you familiar
 9
    Q
10
         with the rate case schedules to implement the
11
         general rate case that were contained within this
12
         exhibit?
13
         (Ware) Yes, I am.
    Α
14
         And were those rate schedules prepared by you or
15
         under your direct supervision?
16
         (Ware) Yes, they were.
17
    Q
         And can I have you please turn to tab, Mr. Ware,
18
         please turn to Tab 13 of Exhibit 1? And, for
19
         the record, this is on Bates Page 175.
20
         (Ware) Okay. I have turned to that tab.
21
         Okay. Mr. Ware, Tab 13, the title page says
22
         "1604.06 and 1604.07 Filing Requirement
23
         Schedules". And are these some of the schedules
24
         that you assisted in preparing?
```

### [WITNESS PANEL: Goodhue|Ware|Laflamme]

```
1
          (Ware) Yes, they are.
 2
         And are these schedules required of the
 3
         Commission's rules?
 4
         (Ware) Yes, they are.
 5
         Okay. And, with respect to Tab 14, if I can have
 6
         you, Mr. Ware, turn to that tab. And, for the
 7
         record, this is Bates Page 220.
 8
         (Ware) I have turned to that page.
 9
         And these are the rate of return schedules, is
    Q
10
         that correct?
11
    Α
         (Ware) That is correct.
12
         Okay. A general question for you, Mr. Ware.
13
         Exhibit 1 on file with the Commission?
14
         (Ware) Yes.
15
         Okay. And, Mr. Ware, do the schedules, which
16
         we'll discuss in more detail later, at Tabs 13
17
         and 14, do they generally show that the Company
18
         is in need of an increase to its last authorized
19
         revenue requirement?
20
         (Ware) Yes, they do.
    Α
21
         Okay. Now, Mr. Goodhue, if I can just turn to
    Q
22
         you to authenticate a few things in Exhibit 1.
23
         Did you prepare testimony that was filed within
```

this rate -- this Exhibit 1?

```
1
          (Goodhue) Yes. Yes, I did. I prepared
 2
         testimony.
 3
    Q
         And was that for permanent rates?
 4
         (Goodhue) Yes, it was.
 5
         And, if I could have you turn to Tab 9 please.
 6
         And, for the record, this is Bates Page 067.
 7
                    And the question -- if you are there,
         Mr. Goodhue?
 8
 9
         (Goodhue) I am turning to that page. Yes, ma'am.
10
         Okay. And the testimony that you supplied for
11
         permanent rates, does that appear at Tab 9 of
12
         Exhibit 1?
13
         (Goodhue) It does, beginning at Page -- Bates
    Α
14
         Page 067.
15
         Thank you. Now, Mr. Goodhue, did you also
    Q
16
         prepare testimony in support of a temporary rate
17
         request?
18
         (Goodhue) Yes, I did.
19
         And, if we could go back to Tab 6, which would be
20
         Bates Page 048?
21
         (Goodhue) Yes. I'm there.
    Α
22
    Q
         Okay. And is the testimony appearing at Tab 6
23
         your temporary rate testimony in this proceeding?
24
         (Goodhue) At Tab 6, Bates Page 048, and testimony
```

```
1
         beginning on Bates Page 049 is the joint
 2
         testimony of myself and Mr. Ware in support of
 3
         temporary rates.
 4
         Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. Ware, I'd like to
 5
         turn to you, and ask did you prepare testimony in
 6
         support of permanent rates?
 7
    Α
         (Ware) Yes, I did.
 8
         And can I just have you turn to Tab 10?
 9
         (Ware) Okay. Tab 10.
    Α
10
         And, for the record, that tab -- I didn't mean to
11
         speak over you, sorry. For the record, that is
12
         Bates Page 098.
13
                    And the testimony appearing at Tab 10,
14
         this is your testimony, is it correct?
15
         (Ware) That is correct.
    Α
16
         Okay. Now, Mr. Goodhue, just -- I'm sorry to
17
         bounce back between the two of you, but I just
18
         want to get into the record that, Mr. Goodhue,
19
         the permanent rate testimony that we just noted
20
         for the record, was that prepared by you or under
21
         your direct supervision?
22
    Α
         (Goodhue) It was prepared by me directly, yes.
23
         Okay. And, to the best of your knowledge, is
24
         that testimony true and accurate?
```

1 (Goodhue) Yes, to the best of my knowledge. 2 And, today, do you have any material changes or 3 corrections that need to be made to that 4 testimony? 5 (Goodhue) I do not. 6 Okay. And do you adopt any -- I'll combine this 7 for permanent and temporary, do you adopt any of 8 that or either of those testimonies, or, I guess, 9 both of those testimonies? My apologies. Do you 10 adopt both of those testimonies here today as 11 part of your oral testimony? 12 (Goodhue) I do, yes. 13 Okay. And just to go back over your temporary 14 rate testimony, are you aware of any material 15 changes or corrections that need to be made to 16 the temporary rate testimony? 17 Α (Goodhue) I know of no changes of a material 18 nature that need to be made. 19 Thank you. And, Mr. Ware, can I have you also Q 20 attest to the accuracy of your permanent and 21 temporary testimonies? Are you aware of any 22 material changes that need to be made to either 23 your permanent testimony or your temporary rate 24 testimony?

```
1
          (Ware) I am not aware of any material changes
 2
         that need to be made to either my permanent or
 3
         temporary testimony.
 4
         Okay. And would you also be adopting your
 5
         permanent and temporary rate testimony as part of
 6
         your oral testimony today?
 7
    Α
         (Ware) Yes.
 8
         Okay. Now, Mr. Ware, I'd like to go back to the
 9
         issue of the revenue requirement. And, in your
10
         testimonies, did you cover some of the drivers
11
         for the need for rate relief or, rather, an
12
         increase in the revenue requirement in your
13
         testimony?
14
         (Ware) Yes, I did.
15
         Could you please summarize some of those drivers
16
         of the need for rate relief?
17
    Α
         (Ware) Yes. So, since the last rate case, the
18
         Company's labor costs have increased each year.
19
         We have both the union and nonunion labor force,
20
         and they have each had increases each year.
21
                   Also, we have seen purchased water
22
         costs, which is approximately 10 percent of our
23
         total overall revenue requirement, increase year
24
         over year. With the average cost of water being
```

purchased ranging from \$1.75 to \$2.80 per hundred cubic feet, which is fairly high, when you compare it against the average cost to produce being something less than 60 cents less per cubic feet -- hundred cubic feet, in the event you have on-site wells. The increase since DW 17-128 in purchased water costs is approximately 7/10ths of the 17 plus percent of the increase requested.

Also, our treatment costs, so, areas where we have community wells, have gone up, primarily — or, one of them, arsenic treatment, the standard for arsenic has dropped from 10 parts per billion to 5 parts per billion. That's caused an increase in costs; our insurance costs have increased; our regulatory expenses have increased; property taxes have increased, including not only, you know, those taxes on both the assets that are our ratepayers pay for, and also the developers contributed to the Company. Increase in property taxes account for 2.8 percent of the requested increase.

Additionally, pension and health retirement expenses have gone up in that area. There's been drivers due to federally issued

discount rates used to calculate the current 1 2 funding and expenses, causing those to go up 3 fairly quickly. 4 And, additionally, we have continued to 5 replace the aging infrastructure in the system, 6 as well as adding infrastructure to ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. 7 8 So, we, again, have been very active. 9 PEU is a unique assemblage of systems. There are 10 a total of 45 individual water systems that make 11 up PEU, spread amongst 19 communities, roughly two and a half hours of travel time from one end 12 13 to the other. And a lot of those were originally 14 systems that were built by developers, many of 15 them prior to the state having stringent 16 regulations that they do today for construction. 17 And we have been actively replacing 18 infrastructure, in particular, pipeline and 19 services, and adding treatment on all of those. 20 So, that has kept us busy. 21 Thank you for that overview, Mr. Ware. I'd like Q 22 to, Mr. Ware, also have you cover some of the 23 schedules. And if I can direct your attention to Tab 13, and this is the filing requirement 24

```
schedules that begin at Bates Page 175 and 176.
 1
 2
         If you could let me know when you are there?
         (Ware) I am there. Thank you.
 3
 4
         Okay. Starting with Bates Page 176, and at the
 5
         top it says "Computation of Revenue Deficiency".
 6
         Can you please state what the function of this
 7
         schedule is?
         (Ware) This schedule uses the ratemaking or
 8
 9
         revenue model that's required and was approved in
10
         DW 17-128. It is how we determine the revenues
11
         necessary to operate the utility. That's broken
12
         up into various buckets of revenue requirement.
13
         One bucket covers what we call is the "City Bond
14
         Fixed Revenue Requirement". That is the PEU's
15
         share of the annual payment to the City for the
16
         price that the City paid to purchase the Company
17
         in 2012.
18
                   And, additionally, there is what is a
19
         revenue bucket entitled "Material Operating
20
         Expenses", and there's a revenue requirement
21
         associated with that. That is the expenses in
22
         different operational areas of the Company,
23
         things like chemicals, purchased water,
24
         maintenance of the distribution system, those
```

sorts of things.

1.3

The next revenue bucket is what's considered to be "Non-Material Operating Expenses". It's a very small bucket, but it incorporates things like outside legal services, and training and education. Things that are viewed as "discretionary", where the material operating expenses are generally viewed as "nondiscretionary". When we have an electric bill, we have to pay it.

So, the next bucket is the principal and interest requirement, what we call the "Debt Service Revenue Requirement". And that is the collection of the principal and the interest on the debt that the Company has issued.

And, then, in order to meet the covenants of the entities who are loaning us money, there is an additional bucket called the "0.1 Debt Service Revenue Requirement", which is a 10 percent over-collection of the principal and interest, to ensure adequate cash flow to the entities who are loaning money to us, so that they can be sure that we can meet the requirements or payments of that.

```
1
                    So, when you total those five buckets
 2
         up, you come up -- those five buckets of revenue
 3
         requirements, you come up with the total revenues
 4
         required to operate the Company.
 5
         Now, Mr. Ware, could you, with that explanation,
 6
         can you point us to which numbers reflect that
 7
         there is a revenue deficiency?
 8
         (Ware) So, we will start out with the
 9
         non-proformed test year ending December 31st,
10
         2019. So, on Schedule A, which is on Bates Page
11
         176, the far left-hand column, or the first
12
         column, notes what the revenue requirement was
13
         for each of those buckets.
14
                    So, you see that the revenue
15
         requirement for the payment to the City is the
         same every year, "$926,309". The Material
16
17
         Operating Expense Revenue bucket does increase
18
         typically each year, due to cost of doing
19
         business going up. And you can see that, in the
20
         test year, the material operating expenses
21
         totaled "$7,537,584". Additionally, the
22
         Non-Material Operating Expense Revenue
23
         Requirement for the test year was "$27,863".
         The Principal and Interest on the debt that was
24
```

```
outstanding and being -- payments being made in
 1
 2
         2019 was "$1,455,530". That gets increased by
 3
         10 percent to give the overall requirement for
 4
         meeting the principal and interest payments of
 5
         "$1,601,083". Yielding a total revenue
 6
         requirement for the test year of "$10,092,839".
 7
         Thank you. And can you please explain what
    Q
 8
         revenues were coming in? There's a deficiency.
         (Ware) Correct. So, the total revenues that came
 9
10
         in during 2019 were "$8,530,604". And that is
11
         exclusive of what we call the "North Country
12
         Revenue Requirement Surcharge" -- or, the "North
13
         Country Recovery Surcharge". That's not in the
14
         revenue requirement that we went through above.
         And, hence, it's taken out of the total revenues
15
16
         that we collected in 2019 of "$8,819,088".
17
                   So, again, the revenues brought in to
18
         cover the "$10,062,651" of revenue requirement
19
         during the test year were "$8,530,604".
20
         Thank you, Mr. Ware. So, the bottom proposed
21
         revenue increase, it shows, based on whether
22
         there is a adder called the "MOEF", shows that
23
         the Company is deficient by somewhere between
24
         17.96 and 21.05 percent, is that correct?
```

# [WITNESS PANEL: Goodhue|Ware|Laflamme]

```
1
          (Ware) That is correct. That "21.05 percent"
 2
         that you referenced is after pro formas have been
 3
         made to the material operating expenses for known
 4
         and measurable changes in those expenses.
 5
         that 21.05 percent includes what was referred to
 6
         as the "Material Operating Expense Factor".
 7
    Q
         Thank you, Mr. Ware. And, so, this chart, this
 8
         Schedule A, Computation of Revenue Deficiency, is
         where we would direct the Commissioners to see an
 9
10
         illustration of the Company's deficiencies, is
11
         that right?
12
         (Ware) That is correct.
13
         Okay. Now, I would also like to have you, Mr.
14
         Ware, turn to Exhibit 3, which is the Annual
15
         Report. Do you have that in front of you?
16
         (Ware) I do.
17
         And can you -- are you familiar with this
18
         exhibit?
19
         (Ware) Yes, I am.
    Α
20
         And are you aware of any changes or corrections
21
         that need to made to this Annual Report?
22
    Α
         (Ware) No, I am not.
23
         Okay. Can you please turn to Bates Page 028?
```

And I'm trying to direct your attention to a

```
1
         "Form F-2", which is entitled "Statement of
 2
         Income".
 3
    Α
         (Ware) Yes.
 4
         If you could let me know when you're there?
 5
         (Ware) I am there.
 6
         Okay. And baseline question, Mr. Ware. Is the
 7
         Company's revenue requirement structured as a
         traditional rate of return utility?
 8
         (Ware) No, it is not. The Form F-2 Statement of
 9
10
         Income submitted as part of the Annual Report
11
         follows GAAP principles. So, it includes
12
         depreciation expense, which we do not collect in
13
         the DW 17-128 rate methodology. It does not
14
         include the City Bond Fixed Revenue Requirement.
15
         So, there are items that are comparable, but
16
         others that are not.
17
                    This schedule is used to derive a
18
         required return on investment, which is not how
19
         our rates are structured.
20
         So, Mr. Ware, could you just comment on the
21
         usefulness of this Statement of Income, in terms
22
         of it justifying the Company's revenue
23
         deficiency? How should this F-2 Form be viewed?
24
         (Ware) Well, the F-2 Form does not show what our
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

revenue requirement or deficiency is, because it is not structured, as I indicated, to include key components or exclude key components of our operations.

As mentioned, it does not include the \$926,309 of expense for payment that is made by PEU towards the City bond. It includes an expense of a depreciation expense, but it does not include principal expense. And it's important to note, dependent upon where the life is on a note, typically, PEU's notes go from 20 to 30 years in duration, how much principal you're collecting. But, if the note was a 30-year note, the average depreciation life on PEU's assets is about 42 percent [42 years?]. So, it -- we do not collect, you know, enough cash in order to -- over time, in the depreciation expense, quickly enough in order to pay for the principal that is due, hence the removal of depreciation expense and the substitute of a principal expense in the revenue-making structure. Thank you, Mr. Ware. So, in terms of, you know,

temporary rates being based on the books and

```
records on file with the Commission, the Company
 1
 2
         would direct the Commission's attention to more
         the rate filing, rather than the Annual Report.
 3
 4
         Is that accurate?
 5
         (Ware) That is correct. Although, all of the
 6
         expenses, all of the key components of the
 7
         revenue-making formula are drawn from the Annual
 8
         Report.
 9
    Q
         Okay.
10
         (Ware) By way of point, if you note on Line 1 of
11
         F-2, it shows the previous year end balance,
         which was the test year, 2019, being "8,819,088".
12
13
         And, if you were to go back to Schedule A of the
14
         revenues collected during the year, Column --
15
         first column on the left, down at the bottom,
16
         "Total Current Revenues", and you see the same
17
         "8,819,088".
18
                    So, a lot of data -- or, all the data,
19
         but it's configured in a different fashion,
20
         because our determination of rates is not the
21
         same as an IOU. We have no equity component.
22
         We're 100 percent debt-funded. And, hence, we
23
         collect the principal and interest per our
24
         discussion.
```

```
1
         Thank you. And, Mr. Goodhue, if I could turn to
    Q
 2.
         you, and I have the same question, just so I can
 3
         get your testimony on the record.
 4
         (Goodhue) Uh-huh.
 5
         If the Commission is to look at, you know, books
 6
         and records on file, would the Company be
 7
         directing the Commissioners to Exhibit 1, more so
         than the Annual Report, to document the
 9
         deficiency?
10
         (Goodhue) That is correct. And there's a couple
11
         of things that are there. As Mr. Ware mentioned,
12
         the annual reports are based on a GAAP-based
13
         approach of the financial data. It is the --
14
                    [Court reporter interruption due to
15
                    indecipherable audio.]
16
    BY THE WITNESS:
17
         (Goodhue) I would agree, Attorney Brown, that the
18
         Commissioners should look to, let me reference it
19
         properly, the schedule at Bates Page 176 of
20
         Exhibit 1, which is "Schedule A", the
21
         "Computation of Revenue Deficiency". The numbers
22
         here are all derived from the same books and
23
         records that are included in the Annual Report.
24
         However, the income statement in the Annual
```

Report is geared towards a traditional rate model for utilities in the state.

Whereas, the approved rate methodology for PEU, coming out of DW 17-128, is a unique revenue model that is cash flow-driven, relative to the construct of the Company.

And just as a point of clarification for Mr. Patnaude in his minutes, when Mr. Ware was referring to the average length of debt being "25 to 30 years", the clarification being "42 years", not "42 percent". That is important.

In a traditional rate-setting model, depreciation is the engine that would pay for principal on debt. And we elucidated this quite concisely in the last rate case filing for PEU, in that, in our ownership structure being a debt only ownership structure, we must have sufficient cash to pay for the principal. If depreciation cannot provide enough cash, that's how we got to this rate model.

The other thing that I would point to is, for the Commissioners' benefit, is on Schedule A, on Bates 176 of Exhibit 1. Focusing on Column 1 and Column 3 of that schedule is what

we're really talking about in a temporary rate 1 2 setting. That is showing the revenue deficiency, 3 either before or after pro forma adjustments, 4 based on the current approved permanent rate 5 structure for PEU. 6 Columns 4 and 5 of this schedule are 7 what is being requested as additional modifications to that rate structure in our 9 permanent rates. 10 So, when we're talking about temporary rates right now, we're talking about underearning 11 12 currently under our current rate structure, which is elucidated between Columns 1 and 3 of Schedule 13 14 A, at Bates 176 of Exhibit 1. BY MS. BROWN: 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Goodhue. Mr. Ware, if I could 17 pick up with you again. If you have -- I'd like 18 to turn to Exhibit 2. And that, for the record, 19 is the Settlement Agreement. If you could tell 20 me if you have that in front of you, and, in 21 particular, Bates Page 004? 22 (Ware) I do have it in front of me. 23 Okay. And, Mr. Ware, did you participate in the

preparation of this Settlement Agreement?

- 1 | A (Ware) Yes, I did.
- 2 | Q Mr. Goodhue, if I could just bounce back to you
- and ask you, did you participate in the creation
- 4 of this Settlement Agreement?
- 5 A (Goodhue) Yes, I did.
- 6 Q And, Mr. Goodhue, are you familiar with the terms
- 7 of the Settlement Agreement?
- 8 A (Goodhue) Yes, I am.
- 9 Q Mr. Ware, are you also familiar with the terms of
- 10 the Settlement Agreement?
- 11 A (Ware) Yes, I am.
- 12 Q And, Mr. Ware, are you aware of any changes or
- 13 corrections that need to be made to this
- 14 exhibit?
- 15 | A (Ware) No. I am not aware of any changes or
- corrections that need to be made to the exhibit.
- 17 | Q And, Mr. Goodhue, are you aware of any
- corrections or changes that need to be made to
- 19 Exhibit 2?
- 20 | A (Goodhue) Likewise, I am unaware of any changes
- 21 or corrections that need to be made to that
- document.
- 23 | Q Okay. Mr. Ware, I will be asking you a series of
- questions now about the terms, if you're at Page

38

```
1
         4?
 2
         (Ware) Yes.
 3
         And can you please summarize what temporary rate
 4
         increase level these Settling Parties are
 5
         proposing?
 6
         (Ware) The Settling Parties are proposing a
 7
         temporary rate increase of 14.03 percent.
 8
         Now, Attachment A, does that describe the
 9
         details, the derivation of that percent increase?
10
         (Ware) Yes, it does.
11
         And who prepared the Attachment A schedules?
12
         (Ware) The Staff of the New Hampshire Public
13
         Utilities Commission prepared Schedule A, the
         Attachment A schedules.
14
15
         Okay. Mr. Ware, within this Paragraph A, under
16
         Section IV of the Settlement Agreement, there's a
17
         discussion about the "QCPAC". Do you see that?
18
         (Ware) Yes, I do.
    Α
19
         And what is the "QCPAC"?
20
         (Ware) The "QCPAC" is the Company's "Qualified
21
         Capital Project Adjustment Charge". It is an
22
         annual charge, used and approved by the
23
         Company -- or, excuse me, used by the Company,
24
         and approved by the Public Utilities Commission,
```

```
to recover the principal and interest and
 1
 2
         property taxes associated with property, plant,
 3
         and equipment that was installed each year.
 4
                   So, every year there is a filing of a
 5
                 There's a petition, where we seek a
 6
         number of things. One, we seek the principal,
 7
         interest, and the 10 percent markup on that, plus
 8
         the property tax expense associated with
 9
         property, plant, and equipment that was used and
10
         useful in the prior year. That filing is done in
11
         February. And we also lay out proposed capital
12
         expenditures for the following three years.
13
                   So, the 2.98 percent is actually
14
         reflective, and is in effect right now on the
15
         rates that were granted in DW 17-128, and it's
16
         reflective of the capital expenditures made by
17
         the Company in 2018.
18
         And, Mr. Ware, could you please summarize the
19
         terms in this Settlement Agreement on how the
20
         QCPAC is going to be suspended while temporary
21
         rates are in effect?
22
    Α
         (Ware) So, as I mentioned, the 2.98 percent is a
23
         surcharge that is on top of the Company's
24
         tariffed rates from the DW 17-128 rate case.
```

```
1
         What will happen is, that surcharge will be
 2
         eliminated when the temporary rates go into
 3
         effect.
 4
         Thank you. And, so, is that how the net effect
 5
         is the "11.05", with the removal of the existing
 6
         QCPAC?
 7
    Α
         (Ware) That is correct.
 8
         And is the Company also willing to forego
 9
         collection of the yet-to-be-approved QCPAC of
10
         1.22 percent?
11
         (Ware) Yes. That 1.22 percent was filed for in
    Α
         February of 2020, and that is reflective of the
12
13
         principal and interest expense, plus 10 percent,
14
         and the property taxes associated with plant and
15
         equipment that was used and useful at the end of
16
         2019. And that surcharge and decision on it is
17
         in its pendency. And, at this stage, the Company
18
         would not -- would not include that.
19
         temporary rates would be fully everything.
                                                      So,
20
         there will be no 1.22 percent added to the
21
         temporary rates for the 2019 CapEx.
22
    Q
         Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. Goodhue, before I
23
         move off of the QCPAC issue, I just want to turn
24
         back to you and give you an opportunity, if you
```

```
had anything else to add to Mr. Ware's testimony
 1
 2
         on the QCPAC just now. I'd give you an
 3
         opportunity if you have anything to say?
 4
         (Goodhue) I mean, just as a point of
 5
         clarification for all of the parties, it's to be
 6
         understood that the QCPAC surcharge is annually,
 7
         or a surcharge between permanent rate cases.
 8
         Okay? So, that surcharge is always something
 9
         that is collected each year, but then reconciled
10
         in the permanent rates that are basically
11
         approved in the next filed rate case.
12
                   So, our -- you know, we are electing to
13
         not bring those forward in this temporary rate
14
         setting, as we await permanent rates to be
15
         approved in this case. And there will be a full
16
         reconciliation, once permanent rates are
17
         approved, to what those permanent rates are, the
18
         timing for which they could be collected back to,
19
         and what the net impact is relative to temporary
20
         rates and QCPAC surcharges that would have been
21
         earned between any cases. And I think that is
22
         important for all the parties to understand.
23
         Excellent clarification. Thank you very much.
24
         Mr. Ware, can I ask you to also explain, I guess,
```

```
the second full paragraph of Paragraph A, under
 1
 2
         Section IV, "Terms of Agreement", discusses the
 3
         "North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge".
 4
         you see that?
 5
         (Ware) Yes, I do.
 6
         And can you please summarize what's going on
 7
         here? Why the North Country Capital Recovery
 8
         Surcharge is changing?
         (Ware) Yes, I can. So, the surcharge is a
 9
10
         recovery above the rates in effect at the time.
11
         There was a significant amount of capital
12
         invested in each one of the three North Country
13
         systems. That has a total annual debt service of
14
         $170,315. And that gets collected ratably from
15
         the customers in each one of the systems.
16
                    The structure of the North Country
17
         Capital Recovery Surcharge is such that the
18
         amount that we need over the thirty years that
19
         this CapEx was being financed doesn't change, but
20
         the number of customers is slowly going up.
21
         since the previous filing in DW 17-128, the
22
         number of customers in each one of those systems
23
         has gone up slightly. And, as a result, the
24
         amounts in the current North Country Capital
```

```
Recovery Surcharge, and you can see them there on
 1
 2
         that page, will be ratably reduced based on the
 3
         increase in customers in each one of those
 4
         systems.
 5
         Great. Thank you very much for that explanation.
 6
         And, Mr. Ware, moving on to Paragraph B, the
 7
         effective date for temporary rates is agreed to
         be December 24th, 2020 on a service-rendered
         basis. Is that correct?
 9
10
         (Ware) Yes, it is.
11
         And this effective date, was this the original
12
         date that the Company expected to implement its
13
         permanent rates?
14
         (Ware) Yes.
15
         And, Mr. Ware, did you participate in notifying
16
         the public of the rate increases?
17
    Α
         (Ware) Yes, I did.
18
         And, Mr. Ware, could you please describe the
19
         steps that Pennichuck East Utility took to notify
20
         the Commission and the Company's customers of the
21
         proposed rate increase?
22
    Α
         (Ware) Yes. So, following the requirements of
23
         the Public Utilities Commission, on September
24
         23rd of 2020, the Company filed a Notice of
```

```
Intent with the PUC per Puc 1604.05 rules and RSA
 1
 2
         378. On November 23rd, 2020, the Company filed
 3
         its tariffs and provided the required thirty days
 4
         notice before the effective date of December
 5
         24th, 2020.
 6
                   That notification was effected in a
 7
         number of different ways. On November 25th, the
 8
         Company posted its rate filing tariffs and
 9
         request for temporary rates on its website.
10
         also posted Answers to Frequently or Commonly
11
         Asked Questions. On December 10th and 11th, the
12
         Company mailed each customer the actual notice of
13
         its rate filing and proposed rates. And, on
14
         December 18th, 2020, pursuant to Commission Order
         Number 26,436, published the Commission's order
15
16
         on its website. And also, on December 18th,
17
         2020, the Company filed proof of its web posting
18
         with the Commission.
19
         Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ware. And, so, the
20
         Company's rate filing -- the tariffs and rate
21
         increase for December 24th did not go into
22
         effect, because the Commission suspended them, is
23
         that correct?
24
         (Ware) That is correct.
```

45

```
1
         Mr. Ware, you mentioned the notice to customers.
 2
         Can I have you turn to Exhibit 1, Tab 8, which
 3
         is, for the record, Bates Page 061?
 4
         (Ware) Yes. I am there.
 5
         And is this the actual notice that was used in
 6
         part of the notification?
 7
    Α
         (Ware) Yes, it was.
 8
         And, Mr. Ware, was this provided by mail and
 9
         electronically to customers?
10
         (Ware) Yes. There was a direct mailing to each
11
         of our Pennichuck East Utility customers, as well
12
         as a posting of these notices on our website.
13
         Okay. Now, if I could also continue with you,
    Q
14
         Mr. Ware, about rate design, and have you turn to
         Tab 7 of Exhibit 1.
15
16
         (Ware) Yes. I'm there.
17
         For the record, this is Bates Page 057, and 058
18
         is the actual document. You're looking at the
19
         Report of Proposed Rate Changes, are you?
20
         (Ware) Yes.
    Α
21
    Q
         Okay.
22
         (Ware) Regarding temporary rates.
23
         Okay. Well, let --
24
                    COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
                                          Excuse me,
```

Ms. Brown. Can you give me that page number 1 2 again please? 3 MS. BROWN: Bates Page 057 is the tab, 4 then 058 has the Report of Proposed Rate Changes. 5 And also the next page after that, depending on 6 whether it's temporary or permanent rates. 7 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you. 8 BY MS. BROWN: 9 Mr. Ware, on Bates Page 058, can you just please 10 summarize for the record the number of customer 11 classes Pennichuck East has? 12 (Ware) Yes. So, Pennichuck East consists of a 13 number of different rate classes. We have our General-Metered class. We have Private Fire 14 15 Protection. And then we have what would 16 typically be called "Municipal Fire Protection" 17 or "Hydrants", and that's a charge to communities 18 that pay the charge through the town or 19 community. And then we have individual hydrant 20 charges in certain communities where the 21 community has not elected to pay for the fire 22 protection directly, but these are additional 23 revenues that are added on to a typical customer 24 who benefits from fire protection in their area.

```
So, there are a number of communities where the
 1
 2
         ratepayer, who benefits from the fire protection,
 3
         is paying directly in their rates for what are
 4
         considered "public hydrants". So, that's in the
 5
         communities of Windham, Raymond, Lee, Exeter,
 6
         Birch Hill, and Bow. And then, lastly, there is
 7
         what we discussed, the North Country Capital
 8
         Recovery Surcharge as well. And that makes up
         the rate classes of service for Pennichuck East
 9
10
         Utility.
11
         Thank you, Mr. Ware, for that overview. Now,
         Pennichuck East conducted a cost of service study
12
13
         for this rate filing, is that correct?
14
         (Ware) That is correct.
15
         And, for temporary rate -- purposes of temporary
16
         rates, are any of the recommendations from that
17
         cost of service study being implemented?
18
         (Ware) No, they are not. We are proposing that
    Α
19
         the temporary rates be applied uniformly across
20
         all of the rate or service classes, with the
21
         exception of the North Country Capital Recovery
22
         Surcharge.
23
                   So, what we requested was a 15 percent
24
         across all -- increase across all customer rate
```

```
classes, and a slight reduction in the North
 1
 2
         Country Capital Recovery Surcharge. The
 3
         Settlement Agreement was at the 14.03 percent,
 4
         and that would be, again, applied uniformly to
 5
         all the rate classes, with the exception of the
 6
         North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge.
 7
    Q
         Okay. Mr. Ware, with respect to the Settlement
 8
         14.03 overall -- or, percent increase, can you
 9
         please explain when customers would see that rate
10
         change in their bills?
11
         (Ware) So, the Company would implement those
12
         rates as soon as the Commission issues an order
13
         approving temporary rates.
14
         And, Mr. Ware, is it your understanding that
15
         temporary rates are reconciled with permanent
16
         rates at the conclusion of the rate proceeding?
17
    Α
         (Ware) Yes, it is.
18
         And, Mr. Ware, can you just generally describe
19
         what that reconciliation entails?
20
         (Ware) Yes. So, the reconciliation is on a
21
         service-rendered basis back in this case to
22
         December 24th, 2020. So, if this case were
23
         settled and an order was issued and tariffs
24
         approved on December 24th, 2021, there would have
```

been a one-year timeframe in which we collected temporary rates at the 14.03 percent, if that is what the Commissioners approve.

To make it easy, if the rates that were granted were 19.03 percent, we would have been under collecting from the time we started collecting temporary rates by 4 percent. So, if temporary rates were approved by, and, again, just illustrative, there's no pressure here, by May 24th, keeping the dates simple, we would collect the -- we would have -- starting on May 24th, we would be collecting the additional 14.03 percent. So, on December 24th, we would have under collected from May 24th to December 24th by five percent. From December 24th to May 24, the rates were suspended, but we've been charging the rates granted in DW 17-128, we would be collecting the full 19.03 percent.

So, the reconciliation, the collection of what should have been collected falls into two steps: The full difference between the permanent rates that are granted and the current rates that are at December 24th, that the temporary rates, if they are approved, are approved, and then the

```
1
         difference between permanent rates and temporary
 2
         rates, during the period from when the temporary
 3
         rates were approved and we begin billing those
 4
         until the new permanent rates take effect.
 5
         And thank you, Mr. Ware. So, with this
 6
         reconciliation, the fact that the Company is not
 7
         yet implementing the cost of service study
 8
         changes, is it difficult to incorporate those
 9
         when you do the reconciliation at the conclusion
10
         of the case?
11
         (Ware) Well, fortunately, today, we have
12
         computers. And, so, what would happen is, each
13
         bill is looked at uniquely. So, we will look at
14
         the period, and the amount of consumption,
15
         starting on from December 24th, 2020, to whenever
16
         the permanent increase goes into effect and goes
17
         into the bills, we will look at the consumption
18
         during that time period and we will look at what
19
         they actually paid. And then, we will run a
20
         reconciliation, where we would run through what
21
         that customer would have paid had they paid at
22
         the new rate from December 24th all the way on.
23
         And the difference between the cash collected
24
         during that timeframe, and what they should have
```

```
paid, reconciliation methodology is the amount
 1
 2
         that we end up collecting in the form of
         recoupment over a period of time, subject to
 3
 4
         Staff review and approval.
 5
         Thank you for that explanation. And, Mr.
 6
         Goodhue, if I could just go back to you now,
 7
         because I just covered a whole bunch of issues
 8
         with Mr. Ware, with the QCPAC, the North Country
 9
         Surcharge, the cost of service, description and
10
         recoupment. Do you have anything to add to his
11
         testimony?
12
         (Goodhue) I have nothing to add to Mr. Ware's
13
         testimony in this area. Just, again, for a point
14
         of reference for a number of parties who may have
15
         not have been involved in a case like this, or in
16
         one of the cases for PEU over the past several
17
         years, the North Country Capital Recovery
18
         Surcharge is a legacy item that comes forward all
19
         the way from back in 2010. There was a rate case
20
         filed for both our Pittsfield Aqueduct Company
21
         and our Pennichuck East Utility back in 2009.
22
         And, out of those cases, three of our community
23
         water systems, being Birch Hill, in North Conway;
24
         Sunrise Estates, in Middleton; and Locke Lake, in
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Barnstead, were, by Commission order, transferred from being community water systems of the Pittsfield Aqueduct Company to the Pennichuck East Utility as of December 31st, 2010.

All three of those systems had had material capital improvements made and debt associated with those capital improvements prior to that timeframe. And it was considered that the cost of servicing that pre-existing debt by other PEU customers was not the direction that should be taken. So, a fixed sum of debt service on those pre-existing debts is the dollars that comprise the North Company Capital -- North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge, say that three times fast. And, so, that's a fixed dollar amount that is not borne by other PEU customers, but is done, as Mr. Ware elucidated, the actual costs borne by each of the customers in those systems would go down or up over time, based on the number of customers sharing in the cost of the coverage of that fixed dollar amount for that debt service coming back from that legacy item.

So, that's just a point of

illustration, I guess, or clarification, for the

```
parties here to understand why this is a
 1
 2
         carve-out and an add-back in a rate filing for
 3
         PEU, not only now, but in every rate case that we
 4
         have, until those debt obligations expire
 5
         sometime in the future.
 6
         Thank you for that history. I appreciate that
 7
         for the record.
                   Mr. Ware -- Mr. Goodhue, if I could
 8
 9
         just continue with you please. And I wanted to
10
         have you explain, if you have an opinion on the
11
         just and reasonableness of temporary rates that
12
         are being proposed today, what is that opinion
13
         and what is -- if you could explain why you hold
14
         that opinion? Thank you.
15
         (Goodhue) My opinion is that they are just and
    Α
16
         reasonable, and consistent with the public
17
         interest. Pennichuck East Utility, in service to
18
         our customers in the nineteen communities we
19
```

(Goodhue) My opinion is that they are just and reasonable, and consistent with the public interest. Pennichuck East Utility, in service to our customers in the nineteen communities we serve, has a cost of operations. As we've described, and was approved in the last found rate case of DW 17-128, our rate structure is really a cash flow-driven rate structure. We had a rate structure that was approved and rates that were approved coming out of that case. And, as

20

21

22

23

24

was described in that Schedule 1, or "Schedule A" 1 2 I guess you might call it, that we talked about 3 earlier on in this testimony, what is shown is 4 there is a revenue deficiency based on that 5 approved structure and those approved rates, 6 based on the actual cost of operating the 7 utility. 8 So, you know, my basis for whether 9 these rates are just and reasonable, and our 10 request is just and reasonable, is that the 11 temporary rate relief we are seeking now, as a 12 step towards permanent rate adjustment for the 13 costs of operating the utility on behalf of 14 customers, is a just and reasonable circumstance. Thank you, Mr. Goodhue. And, Mr. Ware, I have 15 16 the same question to you. If you could please 17 explain, if you have an opinion concerning the 18 just and reasonableness of the proposed temporary 19 rates, and why you hold that opinion? 20 (Ware) I also believe that the proposed temporary 21 rates are just and reasonable, and for --22 basically, for the same reasons that Larry 23 indicated. We have actual test year expenses, 24 actual revenues, and they reflect the fact that

```
1
         the Company, from a cash position, greatly under
 2
         collected the cash necessary to carry on its
 3
         operations during the test year. And, as such,
 4
         we need to have an increase in rates, so that
 5
         what we're bringing in, in terms of cash, allows
 6
         us to operate the utility on an ongoing basis.
 7
                   MS. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Ware. And,
         at this point, I don't have any further questions
 8
         for Mr. Goodhue or Mr. Ware. And my
 9
10
         understanding is that Staff is going to put Mr.
         Laflamme on as the third panelist today.
11
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
13
         Go ahead, Ms. Fabrizio.
14
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
15
         If Mr. Patnaude could you swear in Mr. Laflamme,
16
         Staff would like to call him as a witness please.
17
                   MR. PATNAUDE: I believe Jayson was
18
         sworn in at the same time as the other two.
19
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Oh. My apologies.
                                                       Ι
20
         missed that. Okay.
21
                   JAYSON P. LAFLAMME, SWORN
22
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    BY MS. FABRIZIO:
24
         Well, good afternoon, Mr. Laflamme. Could you
```

1 please state your full name for the record? 2 (Laflamme) My name is Jayson Laflamme. 3 And by whom are you employed? 4 (Laflamme) I am employed by the New Hampshire 5 Public Utilities Commission. 6 And what is your current position at the 7 Commission? 8 (Laflamme) I am the Assistant Director of the Gas 9 & Water Division. 10 And could you please summarize your work 11 experience at the Commission? 12 (Laflamme) Yes. I joined the Commission in 1997 13 as a Utility Examiner in the Commission's Audit 14 Division. In 2001, I joined the Commission's Gas 15 & Water Division as a Utility Analyst. And I was 16 eventually promoted to Senior Utility Analyst 17 within the Gas & Water Division. And, in 2018, I 18 became the Assistant Director of the Gas & Water 19 Division. 20 Thank you. And what are your responsibilities as 21 Assistant Director of the Division? 22 Α (Laflamme) I directly supervise the Water Staff 23 of the Commission, and primarily oversee the 24 course of examination for various water and

```
1
         wastewater dockets that are filed with the
 2
         Commission. I, though, directly examine select
 3
         dockets that come before the Commission, such as
 4
         the docket that's being heard today.
 5
         Thank you. And have you previously testified
 6
         before the Commission?
 7
    Α
         (Laflamme) Yes, I have.
 8
         Thank you. So, Mr. Laflamme, could you please
 9
         describe your involvement in this particular
10
         docket?
11
         (Laflamme) Yes. I examined the Company's rate
    Α
12
         filing, in conjunction with the books and records
13
         previously on file with the Commission regarding
14
         PEU. I participated in the discovery process,
15
         formulating data requests, reviewing data
16
         responses. I participated in tech sessions, and
17
         a settlement conference leading up to the
18
         Settlement Agreement that is being presented
19
         today.
20
                    I have also materially participated in
21
         previous dockets and other rate cases relative to
22
         PEU's ratemaking methodology, specifically DW
23
         11-026, DW 13-126, and DW 17-128.
24
         Thank you.
```

```
1
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Just a minute.
 2
         Ms. Fabrizio, when Mr. Laflamme is testifying,
 3
         can you just mute yourself?
 4
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Oh, my apologies.
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're getting a lot
 6
         of feedback.
 7
                   MS. FABRIZIO: Oh. Sorry about that.
 8
    BY MS. FABRIZIO:
         Mr. Laflamme, do you believe PEU is underearning,
 9
10
         as you've heard earlier today, and therefore
11
         requires temporary rates, in accordance with RSA
         378:27?
12
1.3
         (Laflamme) Yes. Based on my analysis of PEU's
    Α
14
         rate filing, and subsequent discovery, as well as
15
         the books and records previously on file with the
16
         Commission, I believe that PEU is significantly
17
         underearning and should be granted temporary
18
         rates.
19
         Thank you. Now, I'd like you to look at the
20
         Temporary Rate Settlement Agreement filed in the
21
         docket, previously referred to and discussed
22
         earlier by Attorney Brown and the Company
23
         witnesses, and marked for identification as
24
         "Exhibit Number 2". Do you have that document in
```

59

```
1
         front of you, Mr. Laflamme?
 2
          (Laflamme) Yes I do.
 3
         And did you assist in the preparation of this
         document?
 4
 5
          (Laflamme) Yes, I did.
 6
         And are you familiar with the terms of the
 7
         Agreement?
 8
         (Laflamme) Yes.
 9
         And would you make any changes or corrections to
    Q
10
         Exhibit 2 as filed?
11
         (Laflamme) No.
    Α
         Okay. And, turning to Section IV, Terms of
12
13
         Agreement, (A), on Bates Page 004 of Exhibit 2,
14
         this section states that "The Parties agree that
15
         temporary rates be set at 14.03 percent over
16
         existing base rates." Is that correct?
17
    Α
         (Laflamme) Yes, it is.
18
         And the section further states that "The
19
         derivation of this proposed [temporary] rate
20
         increase is detailed in Attachment A to this
21
         Agreement." Is that correct?
         (Laflamme) Yes.
22
    Α
23
         And did you prepare Attachment A?
24
          (Laflamme) Yes, I did.
```

1

Thank you.

```
And can you please briefly walk us
 2
         through key highlights from Staff's perspective
 3
         of the schedules contained in Attachment A, which
 4
         begin on Bates Page 009 of Exhibit 2?
 5
         (Laflamme) Certainly. I would specifically
 6
         direct your attention to the Summary schedule
 7
         that's contained on Bates Page 009, which
         provides a comparison of the calculation of
 8
 9
         temporary rates as proposed by the Company, based
10
         on its Petition for Temporary Rates. That's
11
         found in the left-hand column of numbers.
12
         the calculation of temporary rates proposed in
13
         the Settlement Agreement, which is the right-hand
         column of numbers.
14
15
                   Lines 1 through 10 of the Summary
16
         schedule highlight the three components of PEU's
17
         revenue requirement approved by the Commission in
18
         the Company's prior rate proceeding, DW 17-128.
19
         These components consist of the "City Bond Fixed
20
         Revenue Requirement", found on Line (1); the
21
         "Operating Expense Revenue Requirement", which is
22
         calculated on Line (6); and the "Debt Service
23
         Revenue Requirement" calculated on Line (9).
24
         Line (10) contains the total of these three
```

1 components. 2 Specifically, the Settlement Agreement 3 is proposing a revenue requirement from base 4 rates and other operating revenues of 5 "\$9,921,085". This is comprised of the City Bond 6 Fixed Revenue Requirement, Line (1), of 7 "\$926,309", the calculation of which is further detailed on Schedule 1 of Attachment A, which is 8 on Bates Page 010. Then, there is the Operating 9 10 Expense Revenue Requirement of "\$7,393,694", on 11 Line (6), which is further detailed on Schedules 12 2, 2a, 2b, and 2c, which are on Bates Pages 011 13 through 014. And then, finally, a Debt Service Revenue Requirement of "\$1,601,082", which is 14 further detailed on Schedule 3, or Bates Page 15 16 015. 17 Q Thank you. Now, Line (10) of the Summary 18 schedule states that this is a "Revenue 19 Requirement exclusive of the North Country 20 Capital Recovery Surcharge", as we've heard 21 today. 22 Do you have anything to add to the 23 Company's presentation on the Settlement at this 24 point?

```
1
          (Laflamme) I think Mr. Goodhue and Mr. Ware
 2
         explained the -- explained the origin and the
 3
         purpose of the North Country Capital Recovery
 4
         Surcharge. I think I would only add that that
 5
         surcharge, the North Country Capital Recovery
 6
         Surcharge, has no impact on the determination of
         the proposed 14.03 percent increase in revenues
 7
         proposed in the Settlement Agreement.
 8
         Thank you. And, while the Summary schedule shows
 9
    Q
10
         that the City Bond Fixed Revenue Requirement
11
         proposed in the Settlement is the same as that
12
         proposed by the Company, and the Debt Service
13
         Revenue Requirement is the same as that proposed
14
         by the Company as well, the Operating Expense
15
         Revenue Requirement component proposed in the
16
         Agreement at Line (6) is 171,752 less than what
17
         was originally proposed by the Company. Can you
18
         please explain the difference?
19
         (Laflamme) Yes. And, really, I would call
    Α
20
         attention to Bates Page 012 of the Settlement
21
         Agreement, and specifically focusing on Pro Forma
22
         Adjustments 3, 4, 5, and 6.
23
                   First of all, there are adjustments to
24
         increase production expenses by $45,341, to
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reflect the five-year average of the Company's production data relative to purchased water expense and purchased power expense in the pro forma test year. This is based on the ratemaking mechanism that was approved for PEU in DW 17-128, whereby certain of the Company's variable expenses, specifically purchased water, chemicals, and purchased power, that are impacted by production, are reflected in PEU's revenue requirement using a five-year trailing average for production. This is done to minimize the impact of the annual swings which may occur, with regards to a particular test year, depending upon whether it is especially wet or dry. specific adjustments, as I indicated, are found on Schedule 2a, Bates Page 012, of Attachment A, Adjustments 3 and 4. The next adjustment reduces PEU's

The next adjustment reduces PEU's amortization expense by \$213,318, relative to the elimination of its annual amortization expense related to the acquisition adjustment that was reported when it was acquired by the City of Nashua in 2012. Again, this elimination is per the ratemaking mechanism approved by the

Commission in DW 17-128. And this adjustment 1 2 appears on Schedule 2a as Adjustment Number 5. 3 And then, finally, the last adjustment 4 is relative to the elimination of the Company's 5 share of the New Hampshire Business Enterprise 6 Tax of \$3,775 recorded during the test year. 7 Company's current ratemaking mechanism approved in DW 17-128 does not include this expense in its 8 9 revenue requirement. 10 However, the Company has requested the 11 inclusion of such in the permanent rate phase of 12 the current rate proceeding. That adjustment, 13 again, is on Schedule 2a, and is Adjustment Number 6. 14 15 The net of all of these adjustments 16 results in a \$171,752 reduction in the Company's 17 Operating Expense Revenue Requirement component. 18 Thank you. That's helpful. Can you please walk Q 19 us through the calculations found on Lines (11) 20 through (17) of the Summary schedule? 21 (Laflamme) Sure. The calculated revenue Α 22 requirement of "\$9,921,085" is reduced by the 23 Company's test year other operating revenues of 24 "\$30,188" to derive water revenues from base

```
And that difference is "$9,890,897".
 1
         rates.
 2
         Comparing that amount to the Company's pro forma
 3
         test year revenues derived from base rates of
 4
         "$8,674,184", the result shows a required
 5
         increase in PEU's annual revenues derived from
 6
         base rates of "$1,216,713", or "14.03 percent".
 7
                   As indicated earlier by Mr. Ware and
 8
         Mr. Goodhue, during the test year the Company was
         authorized to charge a Qualified Capital Project
 9
10
         Adjustment Charge of 2.98 percent. That was
11
         approved in DW 19-035. The pro forma annual
12
         revenues derived from that QCPAC are "$258,491".
13
         And those pro forma QCPAC revenues will be, in
14
         effect, subsumed into the proposed temporary
15
         rates derived from base rates. And, as such, PEU
         will recognize a "$958,222" annual increase in
16
17
         revenues, or "11.05 percent".
18
         Thank you. And what will happen to the QCPAC if
    Q
19
         the proposed temporary rates are approved?
20
         (Laflamme) Per the Settlement Agreement, PEU will
21
         cease the application of its current 2.98 percent
22
         QCPAC on customer billings. Additionally, PEU
23
         will forego collection of its proposed 1.22
24
         percent QCPAC for 2020 that is currently pending
```

```
1
         before the Commission in DW 20-019.
 2
         such, PEU's QCPAC will be reset to zero percent.
 3
    Q
         Thank you. And on Bates Page 005 of the
 4
         Settlement Agreement discusses a proposed
 5
         decrease in the North Country Capital Recovery
         Surcharge, as we've heard today. Do you have
 6
 7
         anything to add to the Company's explanation of
         that surcharge at this time?
 8
 9
         (Laflamme) No, I do not. Other than the fact
10
         that, because of the -- because of the respective
11
         decreases in the North Country Capital Recovery
12
         Surcharge, that will decrease the Company's
13
         annual revenues from the NCCRS, from $181,603 to
14
         $178,915.
         Thank you. And, as a result of the temporary
15
16
         rates proposed by this Settlement Agreement, what
17
         will PEU's overall revenues be?
18
         (Laflamme) That is calculated on Lines (18)
    Α
19
         through (21) of the Summary schedule on Bates
20
         Page 009. Specifically, the proposed revenues
21
         from base rates will be "$9,890,897". The
22
         Company's other operating revenues will be
23
         "$30,188". And, as I just indicated, the
24
         proposed North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge
```

```
1
         revenues will now be "$178,915".
 2
         together, the Company's annual revenues would be
         "$10,100,000".
 3
 4
         Okay. Thank you. And how will the proposed
 5
         14.03 percent increase in revenues be applied to
 6
         the Company's respective customer classes?
 7
         (Laflamme) As indicated by Mr. Ware, the proposed
    Α
 8
         14.03 percent temporary increase in revenues will
 9
         be applied equally across all customer charges.
10
         And this is indicated on Schedule 4, or Bates
11
         Page 016 of Attachment A.
         And do you have anything further to add regarding
12
13
         the impact of the proposed temporary rates on the
14
         Company's average residential customers?
15
         (Laflamme) Yes. Staff calculated the impact of
    Α
16
         the proposed temporary rates, on its average
17
         residential customers. And I have -- there are
18
         four sets of these impacts that Staff calculated.
19
         And I would also add that this encompasses the
20
         impact of the QCPAC that customers are currently
21
         paying. But it does not incorporate the impact
22
         of the fire protection charges that some
23
         customers are responsible for.
24
                    So, beginning with PEU's non-North
```

Country average residential customers, using 6.5 hundred cubic feet, or ccf, per month, and currently paying \$71.59 per month, the impact of the proposed temporary rates will result in an increase of \$7.65 per month, to an amount of \$79.24.

For PEU's Locke Lake average residential customers, using 3.45 ccf per month, and currently paying \$60.81 per month, the impact of the proposed temporary rates for those customers will result in an increase of \$4.90 is per month, to \$65.71.

For PEU's Sunrise Estates average residential customers, using 3.45 ccf per month, and currently paying \$58.74 per month, the impact of the proposed temporary rates will result in an increase of \$4.75 per month, to an amount of \$63.49.

And then, finally, for PUC's Birch Hill average residential customers, using 3.45 ccf per month, and currently paying \$60.81 per month, the impact of the proposed temporary rates will result in an increase of \$5.01 per month, to an amount of \$65.82.

```
1
         Thank you. And what is the proposed effective
    Q
 2
         date for temporary rates?
 3
    Α
         (Laflamme) As indicated previously, the proposed
 4
         effective date is December 24th, 2020, on a
 5
         service-rendered basis.
 6
         Thank you. And, Section IV.C of the Settlement,
 7
         at Bates Page 005, indicates agreement by the
 8
         Parties that "temporary rates should be subject
 9
         to reconciliation, pursuant to RSA 378:29, after
         the final determination of permanent rates by the
10
11
         Commission." Is that correct?
12
         (Laflamme) Yes.
13
         And how do you envision that occurring?
14
         (Laflamme) As is customary in previous water rate
15
         cases, subsequent to the Commission's order on
16
         permanent rates in this proceeding, the Company
17
         will be filing a reconciliation of the revenues
18
         actually collected under temporary rates,
19
         compared to what it would have collected in
20
         revenues had permanent rates been in effect from
21
         the approved effective date to the date of the
22
         Commission's order approving permanent rates.
23
                   This reconciliation should be
24
         accompanied by the Company's proposal for
```

```
recovering or refunding the calculated revenue
 1
 2
         difference. Staff and the other parties will
 3
         examine the Company's proposals, and will also
 4
         have an opportunity to make recommendations to
 5
         the Commission. Based on the Company's filing,
 6
         as well as the subsequent recommendations filed
 7
         by Staff and the other parties, the Commission
 8
         will issue an order regarding either the recovery
         or refunding of the calculated difference between
 9
10
         temporary and permanent rates.
11
         Thank you. And do you believe that the temporary
    Q
12
         rates proposed in the Settlement Agreement are
13
         just and reasonable?
14
         (Laflamme) Yes.
15
         And could you please explain?
16
         (Laflamme) Staff believes that the Company has
17
         demonstrated a need for rate relief, based on the
18
         application of the ratemaking methodology
19
         approved by the Commission in DW 17-128.
                                                    Staff
20
         believes that the proposed Settlement Agreement
21
         will provide necessary revenues to the Company to
22
         meet its cash flow needs. And Staff also
23
         believes that the temporary rates being proposed
24
         will somewhat mitigate rate shock to PEU's
```

```
1
         customers, relative to the possible institution
 2
         of permanent rates at the conclusion of this
 3
         proceeding.
 4
                    Staff believes that the resulting rates
 5
         are just and reasonable for both the Company and
 6
         its customers.
 7
    Q
         Thank you. Do you have any additional thoughts
 8
         on the Agreement itself, based on what you heard
 9
         earlier today?
10
         (Laflamme) No, I do not.
11
         And, to sum up, do you recommend that the
12
         Commission approve the Settlement Agreement for
13
         Temporary Rates and find that approval will set
14
         just and reasonable rates for its customers --
15
         the "Company's customers", I should say?
16
         (Laflamme) Yes.
    Α
17
         And does that conclude your testimony today?
18
         (Laflamme) Yes, it does.
19
                    MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. Mr. Laflamme
20
         is available for questions.
21
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you very
22
         much.
23
                    Okay. For cross, Mr. Kreis, do you
24
         have cross today?
```

```
MR. KREIS: Madam Chairperson, I do not
 1
 2
         have any questions for these witnesses. And, of
         course, I am a signatory to the Settlement
 3
 4
         Agreement. And, so, I am supportive of what the
 5
         witnesses have been testifying about, which I'd
 6
         be happy to explain at the end of the hearing.
 7
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
         And Mr. Lirette?
                   MR. LIRETTE: Thank you. I have no
 9
10
         questions for the witnesses.
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
12
         And Mr. Myers?
1.3
                   MR. MYERS: Yes. Thank you very much.
14
         I just have one very quick question for Mr.
15
         Laflamme please.
16
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. MYERS:
18
         Mr. Laflamme, did I understand you correctly, or
19
         did I not understand, that you said that the City
20
         Bond Fixed Revenue Requirement, or the CBFRR, has
21
         been removed from the revenue requirements?
22
    Α
         (Laflamme) No. The City Bond Fixed Revenue
23
         Requirement is included in the determination of
24
         the overall revenue requirement being presented
```

```
1
         today.
 2
         All right. I apologize. Just one quick
 3
         follow-up.
 4
                   Was one of the other fixed requirements
 5
         also -- actually stripped out of the temporary
 6
         rate structure?
 7
         (Laflamme) I think you may be referring to the
    Α
         North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge, which
 8
         is kind of -- it's a different revenue stream
 9
10
         from the base rates that are being proposed
11
         today.
12
                   MR. MYERS: Okay. Thank you.
13
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
14
         Mr. Myers. And last, Mr. Husband, do you have
15
         questions?
16
                   MR. HUSBAND: Thank you, Chairwoman.
17
         have no questions today of either witness, or
18
         "any of the witnesses", I should say.
19
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank
20
         you. Commissioner Bailey.
21
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you.
2.2
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
         Mr. Goodhue or Mr. Laflamme, can you explain to
23
24
         me the difference between -- or, what you mean by
```

```
"base rates"?
 1
 2
         (Goodhue) I can answer. Mr. Laflamme did
 3
         indicate that term. But "base rates", as I would
         see it from the Company's perspective, are the
 4
 5
         permanent rates that have been approved out of DW
 6
         17-128, and are comprised of the various revenue
 7
         buckets approved in that case. Being the CBFRR,
 8
         which is the City Bond Fixed Revenue Requirement,
 9
         or the portion of revenues that are required to
         service the debt that the City floated in order
10
11
         to purchase the Company in 2012. You've got the
12
         OERR bucket of revenues, or the Operating Expense
13
         Revenue Requirements.
14
         I understand the buckets, Mr. Goodhue.
15
         (Goodhue) Oh. I'm sorry. Okay. Yes.
    Α
16
         So, what I'm trying to get at, though, is do
17
         "base rates" include things like usage rates?
18
         (Laflamme) Yes. Yes. Yes, what I mean by, when
    Α
19
         I use "base rates", I mean the volumetric rates
20
         and the -- and the meter and the monthly meter
21
         charges. I use the term "base rates" in order to
22
         differentiate those particular rates from the
23
         surcharges, such as the North Country Capital
24
         Recovery Surcharge and the -- and the QCPAC.
```

```
1
                Thank you. So, then, every single rate,
         Okay.
 2
         except for the North Country Capital Recovery
 3
         Surcharge, is going to go up 14.03 percent, and
 4
         we're going to eliminate the QCPAC. Is that a
 5
         summary of what we're doing here?
 6
    Α
         (Laflamme) Yes.
 7
    Α
         (Witness Goodhue nodding in the affirmative).
 8
    0
         Okay.
         (Laflamme) Or, actually, I'd like to clarify.
 9
10
         Not "eliminate the QCPAC", but reset the QCPAC
11
         percentage to zero.
12
         Okay. Thank you for that clarification. So, Mr.
13
         Laflamme, you went through the bill impacts, and
14
         I was surprised, because I did a quick
15
         calculation of the percent, the bill -- the
16
         percentage increase for the bill. And I would
17
         have expected that the bills would have gone up
18
         14 percent, if all the rates go up 14 percent.
19
         Is that reasonable?
20
         (Laflamme) Well, what the -- what I included in
         the rates that customers are currently paying
21
22
         are -- they also reflect -- they reflected the
23
         QCPAC, the 2.98 percent QCPAC that customers are
24
         currently paying. As indicated, if temporary
```

```
rates are approved, then the QCPAC goes from 2.98
 1
         percent to zero. And, so, in effect, the
 2
 3
         customers are already paying 2.98 percent of
 4
         the -- a 2.98 percent portion of the 14.03
 5
         percent increase in base rates.
 6
         Right. Sorry, I understand that. So, then, it
    Q
 7
         would have been like an 11 percent increase, if
 8
         every rate goes up? Or, here's my question, my
         bottom line question. The first bill impact
 9
10
         group that you talked about, you said they
11
         currently pay "$71.59 a month". You want me to
12
         give you a minute to get to that, what you were
13
         looking at?
14
         (Laflamme) Sure. Yes. Yes.
15
         Okay. What customer group was that?
16
         (Laflamme) That was the non-North Country average
17
         residential customers.
18
         Okay. So, their rate -- their monthly bill is
    Q
19
         going to go from $71.59 to $79.24. Is that
20
         right?
21
         (Laflamme) Yes. Yes.
    Α
22
         And I -- okay. And I calculate that to be about
23
         a 10.7 percent overall increase. Would you agree
24
         with that?
```

```
1
         (Laflamme) Yes. Sure.
 2
         Okay. So, that's close to the 11 percent that I
 3
         would have expected.
 4
         (Laflamme) Yes.
 5
         But the other three -- the other three groups
 6
         that you mentioned are more like 8.1, 8.2 percent
 7
         overall increase. Can you explain why that is?
         (Laflamme) Because those are also reflective of
 8
 9
         the decreases in the North Country Capital
10
         Recovery Surcharges. So, in other words, for the
11
         Locke Lake system, you know, there is that
12
         approximate 11 percent in the base charge, but
13
         also the rates that I indicated also include a
14
         reduction in the NCCRS, from $12.81 to $12.58.
15
         So, rather than -- rather than an increase in
16
         those North Country Capital Recovery Surcharges,
17
         those customers are going to realize a decrease
18
         in that. So, therefore, the rates -- the overall
19
         rates probably would be closer to 8 percent, as
20
         you calculated.
21
         Okay. That's, you know, like a 50 cent
    Q
22
         difference. That's not -- Mr. Goodhue maybe can
23
         help explain.
24
         (Goodhue) Yes. And one of the things I think
```

```
that Mr. Laflamme is trying to describe, the
 1
 2
         current rates that those North Country residents
 3
         are paying, including in that bill that they're
 4
         currently paying, is the North Country Capital
 5
         Recovery Surcharge. So, if you strip that out
 6
         and come to what that amount is exclusive of
 7
         that, and then compare that to the new rate,
 8
         you're going to see that 11 percent increase,
 9
         Commissioner.
10
                    Does that make sense? Did I explain
11
         that?
12
         Yes. I think that makes sense.
13
         (Goodhue) Yes.
14
         So, we can say that customers are going to get
15
         about an 11 percent increase in their bills as a
16
         result of this temporary rate? All customers
17
         will?
18
         (Goodhue) Exactly.
19
         And the North Country customers will get, you
20
         know, less than a dollar decrease?
21
         (Laflamme) Yes.
    Α
22
         (Goodhue) The North Country customers are going
         to get the same increase in their base rates that
23
24
         everybody else is, but they have this Capital --
```

```
North Country Capital Recovery Surcharge, again,
 1
 2
         say that three times fast, that is, you know,
 3
         overall embedded on top.
 4
         Okay. When do you expect that the North Country
 5
         Surcharge will have fully recovered the debt that
 6
         you are recovering through that?
 7
    Α
         (Goodhue) You know, I'm trying to recall exactly
         the age of those debts, Commissioner. I know it
 8
         was as of 2010. I think that a lot of those
 9
         debts were incurred in the 2006 and 2007
10
11
         timeframe. So, if you roll forward, anywheres
12
         between probably 2031 and '32. I could look it
13
         up.
14
                   But, you know, they still got
15
         another -- another ten years in our windshield,
16
         as far as the timeframe. So, it's approximately
17
         that.
18
         (Ware) So, Larry, you may, and for Commissioner,
    Α
19
         that debt was retermed in 2016 or '17, as part of
20
         DW 17-128.
21
         (Goodhue) Thank you.
    Α
22
    Α
         (Ware) So, it was extended thirty years, in order
         to reduce the level of payment. And also
23
24
         match -- those were for assets that had mostly
```

```
pipeline and other stuff, 70- to 80-year lives.
 1
 2
         And, as a result, you know, the fact is is that
 3
         it's like 2047 before that surcharge disappears.
 4
         (Goodhue) Thank you for that reconciliation, Mr.
 5
         Ware.
                So, thank you.
 6
         (Laflamme) Yes. And I would also add that, I
 7
         believe that there are two loans in play relative
 8
         to that surcharge. And those loans are
 9
         highlighted on Bates Page 015 of the Settlement
10
         Agreement. I don't know if you have a color -- a
11
         color copy of that. But one of them is about,
12
         what, four lines down from the top, it's the
13
         "Birch Hill North Country Loan". And then, the
14
         second one is at the very bottom of the listed
15
         loans, and that's "Penn Corp: Refinanced
16
         $1,157,403 North Country Loan of 2018". And it
17
         also provides -- those two lines provide the
18
         maturity dates for those two loans.
19
         (Goodhue) Yes. So, the answer is kind of a
    Α
20
         bifurcated answer, Commissioner Bailey. This is
21
         Mr. Goodhue. In that we do have one of the loans
22
         expiring about 10 years from now, and another one
23
         17 years from now -- 27, do my math, 27 years
24
         from now.
```

```
1
         Okay. Thank you. Can we look at Exhibit 2, Page
 2
         10?
 3
    Α
         (Witness Goodhue nodding in the affirmative).
 4
         So, Mr. Goodhue, this is --
 5
         (Goodhue) Go ahead.
 6
         Can you hear me?
 7
    Α
         (Witness Goodhue nodding in the affirmative).
 8
         Okay. This is the calculation of PEU's portion
 9
         of the loan that the City purchased. And, if you
10
         go through the lines there, one, two, three,
11
         four, five, six, the seventh line down from, you
12
         know, where it says "Total City Bond", "Bond
13
         Interest Rate", "Bond Period", "Total City Bond",
14
         "Less Rate Stabilization fund", "Amount of City
15
         Bond to be prorated", and then it says "PWW Share
         of CBFRR". Should that be "PEU"?
16
17
    Α
         (Laflamme) Yes.
18
         (Goodhue) Yes. Yes, it should be. Yes, it
19
         should be. Very good catch.
20
         Okay. I was just trying to understand the
21
         calculation, and I was wondering why. So, both
22
         of those "PWW"s should be "PEU"?
23
         (Goodhue) That is correct.
    Α
24
         Okay. All right. So, it's just a typo.
```

```
then, possibly the rest of the -- well, not the
 1
 2
         rest of the whole page. But just take a look
 3
         down that page and see if there's any other PWWs.
 4
         I think that's the only place.
 5
         (Goodhue) I think you're correct, that that is
 6
         the only place that did not get properly updated,
 7
         Commissioner.
                   MS. BROWN: Could we reserve a record
         request, Exhibit 4, for this sheet only, just to
 9
10
         correct it?
11
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I mean, I
12
         understand it. It's up to the Chair.
1.3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think we could
14
         take it as a record request, and that way we'll
15
         have a clean record.
16
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay.
17
                    (Exhibit 4 reserved)
18
                   MS. BROWN: Thank you. I prefer to
19
         have many eyes on this document, and actually,
20
         you know, be assured that we are accurately
21
         representing it. Thank you very much.
2.2
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
23
         Okay. Now, I'd like to look at the Annual
24
         Report, Exhibit 3, on Page -- Pages 4 and 5.
                                                        And
```

```
this shows the -- see if I can get this back
 1
 2
         together -- the lost water, right, at the bottom
 3
         of the page of each table?
 4
         (Witness Goodhue nodding in the affirmative).
 5
         Mr. Goodhue is shaking his head "yes". Okay.
 6
         (Goodhue) I'm sorry. That is correct, ma'am.
 7
         Okay. So, if we look at "Farmstead" -- no,
         sorry, "Daniels Lake", "67 percent" of your water
 8
         is lost, and "Gage Hill", "69 percent", and
 9
         "Goldenbrook", "49 percent", there are some big
10
11
         numbers here. Would you agree with that? And
12
         could you explain why that is?
13
         (Ware) Yes, Commissioner. Let me address that.
    Α
14
         This is Don Ware.
15
                   So, first of all, when you pick out
16
         "Daniels Lake", that is a three gallon a
17
         minute --
18
                    (Multiple speakers within the audio
19
                   feed.)
20
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Jody? Jody,
21
         you're not on mute.
22
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Carmody, can
23
         you go on mute please?
24
                   MS. CARMODY: I'm sorry. I just lost
```

```
like everybody. Did everybody else lose? Okay.
 1
 2
         I am going to put myself back on mute. I was
 3
         trying to call in to see if could figure out
 4
         what's going on, and you all appeared. So,
 5
         sorry.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: That's okay. Thank
 7
         you.
 8
                   WITNESS GOODHUE: Somebody else is not
 9
         on mute.
                   WITNESS WARE: Yes.
10
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Appears that
12
         everyone else is on mute.
13
                   That's better. Okay. Go ahead.
14
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
15
         All right. So, Mr. Ware, you were explaining
         the table in Exhibit 3.
16
17
    Α
         (Ware) Yes. Well, let's start out with Gage
18
         Hill. So, by example, Gage Hill is 29 customers.
         It's got a single well. And it has about two
19
20
         miles' worth of pipeline. There's an average of
21
         11 to 12 leaks a year. But, like I said, that
22
         one it averages -- that amount of unaccounted for
23
         water was two gallons per minute. So, two
24
         gallons per minute, and that water is one of the
```

unique ones in that it's not treated at all, it gets a little bit of chlorine.

You know, and I could pull up, I actually calculate every year the cost of the unaccounted for water. The cost of the unaccounted for water there is about \$2,000 a year, because it's a very small system. And, when you're looking for a two gallon a minute leak, it's typically on a service, could be a little crack on a piece of pipe, on a number of different services, very difficult to find.

And, so, we prioritize, in the 45 systems, based on the cost of the leak, and the size of the leak, and the capacity of the wells, where we look. Because tracking down, you know, in order to find a leak like that, you have to do, you know, look for it at night, when everything is quiet. Overwise, you'd hear usage in the system from other customers. So, it gets -- you spend thousands of dollars in order to find a small leak.

So, long story short, our goal is to, you know, is to strive for 15 percent unaccounted for, ideally 10 percent or less, I'll call it

"non-revenue water".

There are certain systems, again, Gage
Hill has been on our list of pipe to replace,
but, for 29 customers, it's about an \$800,000
pipe replacement. And, so, you struggle, how
much do you spend? Now, there is a disruption to
those customers. So, typically, once a month, I
said there was 12 breaks there last year, those
people are without water for a day. So, at some
point, you're going to go in and you're going to
replace that pipe. But other, you know, other
systems have higher priorities.

Locke Lake, when we took it over, had leakage rates of about 70 percent. We're down now, as of last month, we're averaging about 17 to 18 percent, roughly 25 to 26 gallons a minute. That is on a conservation program with the DES. And we go through and listen on that system actively every year. We've replaced most of the pipe in that system. But the customer side, the stop in prior to the meter, is where the leakage is happening. And, so, again, you have to find it, and you have to go and listen on 1,100 services, and that 29 gallons a minute is

typically spread, you know, if you could find it all, you know, would be spread amongst a dozen services or so. So, those are the challenges.

Daniels Lake is a little, tiny system with 25 customers, in Weare, New Hampshire. And, again, when you look at the leakage, the rate, that amount of leakage is accounting, so, it's smaller than Gage Hill, it's a little less than two gallons a minute. And, so, those are ones that, again, we typically do not spend a lot of time on. Eventually, a leak will get bad enough and it will surface. And like it's groundwater, so, it returns to groundwater. And the cost of either finding the leak and/or repairing it far exceeds the cost of the leakage itself.

So, like I said, we have all 45 of those systems, plus all the systems in PWW, categorized by the type of treatment, the amount of treatment, the electrical costs, based on the size of the wells and the booster pumps, and we track month over month, because we read the production meters on the same day as we read all the retail meters, and we track month over month the unaccounted for, and go over the systems

```
1
         where the costs are the highest, and where you're
 2
         not going to spend more finding the leak than
 3
         letting it go.
 4
         And, so, what's the total cost on a monthly basis
 5
         or on an annual basis for all of this unaccounted
 6
         for water?
 7
         (Ware) All right. I'm going to pull up this
    Α
 8
         spreadsheet. So, just bear with me. I certainly
 9
         would be willing to share this in the form of a,
10
         you know, data response.
11
         That's fine. I just -- my question is, how much
    Q
12
         are these leaks adding to your operating
13
         expenses? And you're saying "not as much as it
14
         would cost to repair them."
15
         (Ware) Right. And remember, percentage. So,
    Α
16
         I'll use as an example, the core system in
17
         Pennichuck Water Works is about 9 percent
18
         unaccounted for. That's considered to be "top
19
         shelf". Put that into perspective, we're
20
         averaging 10 million gallons of water a day, 9
21
         percent of that is 900,000 gallons a day.
22
                   A two gallon a minute leak is 2,880
23
         gallons of water per day. And, so, with these
24
         small systems, little tiny leaks rarely pop up.
```

But, again, the cost is fairly minimal, you know, to those. And we, you know, have one person, that's effectively all they do, you know, is go out and investigate, you know, leaks in some of the systems.

We've literally been chasing a leak in one system for two years, been out at night, you know, check the production meter, go and shut off different parts of the system in order to see where the leak is, and have been unable to find it. We had the state come in, through a grant, look at the same system; they couldn't find it. And it's, you know, in this case, it's about a 6.6 gallon a minute leak, or multiple leaks that total that.

So, it is a challenge. We spend a lot of time on it. You know, overall, again, I can pull up the number. I can tell you, if you eliminated everything, all leakage above 10 percent, based on current day costs, what the cost would be. And, while I'm sitting here talking, I'm trying to get to that, that point.

All right. So, this is a run for 2020. And, in PEU -- so, in PEU, as a for instance, we

reported 62 leaks during 2020. And the cost of the total leakage across all the systems above the 10 percent threshold was \$76,000. But, by example, you know, where was the biggest part of that? It was in the Londonderry system, which is a big system. It's around 2,000 customers. And the unaccounted for water in what's called the "Londonderry core", averages, you know, typically, right now about 14 percent. So, it's below the 15 percent level. It's just a big system, more flow.

So, I was just going to pull up, in Weare, the cost of that leak, let's see, well, actually, in 2020, because you have the 2019 before, let's see, the unaccounted for was 43 percent, and the cost -- the cost of that leakage over 10 percent was \$705.01.

You know, so, again, we prioritize. I said, you know, during the year, 62 leaks were found and, you know, found and located. We have somebody actively searching, based on the monthly report comparing production against -- production into the system against actual metered water.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. Thank you

```
very much for that explanation.
 1
 2
                    That's all I have, Madam Chair.
 3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 4
         I just have one question left related to
 5
         foregoing collection of the 2020 QCPAC.
 6
    BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
 7
         That Docket 20-019 is open. Is there a plan to
         withdraw that request or how is that -- how are
 8
         we going to handle that pending request? If
 9
10
         anyone knows?
11
                    MS. BROWN: Was there a Staff
         recommendation letter issued in that docket?
12
1.3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: There was a Staff
14
         recommendation, yes.
                    WITNESS LAFLAMME: Yes.
15
16
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: If the witnesses
17
         don't know, I can hear from counsel at the end.
18
         I just wanted to close the loop on what exactly
19
         "forego collection" in the other docket means,
20
         and what implications it has for the docket?
    BY THE WITNESS:
2.1
2.2
         (Goodhue) This is Mr. Goodhue speaking. One of
23
         the things I think that's important for the
24
         Company to say is, you know, we're agreeing to
```

```
embed that QCPAC surcharge into the temporary
 1
 2
         rate request as we're awaiting permanent rate
 3
         relief in this case. But it is important that
 4
         the QCPAC process, on an annual basis, continue
 5
         to be adhered to. Because we do issue debt
 6
         annually, and must get the cash flow coverage to
 7
         service that debt annually.
 8
                    So, I will defer to, you know, our
 9
         attorney and the Staff attorney to talk about the
10
         legal process of that. But it's important for us
11
         to understand that it's -- this is a process that
12
         has to continue going forward with an annual
13
         filing and consideration between rate cases.
14
    BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
15
         Thank you, Mr. Goodhue. When you say we're
16
         "embedding that in the temporary rates", though,
17
         that refers to the QCPAC that's currently
18
         approved in DW 19-035, right? In 20-019, that
19
         has not been approved. And, so, that's why I
20
         have the question.
21
                    (Short pause.)
22
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Well, we'll hear
23
         from counsel on that at the end.
24
    BY THE WITNESS:
```

```
(Ware) Commissioner Martin, the intent is to
 1
 2
         forego that. I'm not the attorney. In other
 3
         words, that case will be terminated, assuming
 4
         temporary rates are granted. If temporary rates
 5
         were not granted, say it was a determination to
 6
         set temporary rates at current rates, so there
 7
         was no effective increase, we would need to
         proceed with that case, because we started
 9
         incurring the principal and interest payments on
         the loan entered into CoBank last November.
10
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
12
         Mr. Ware.
13
                   Commissioner Bailey.
14
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
15
         So, if we approve the temporary rates, are we
16
         finding that the investments in the 2020 QCPAC
17
         case are prudent?
18
                   MS. BROWN: Did you want me to respond
19
         to this now or later?
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
21
         Bailey?
22
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Either way is
23
         fine with me.
24
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I mean, I think
```

```
1
         we're going to need to hear from counsel, because
 2
         it says "we will forego collection". So, we'll
 3
         need clarity on that.
 4
                   Why don't we wait and just see if
 5
         there's any redirect and hear from counsel at the
 6
         end.
 7
                   Okay. I have no other questions. So,
         Ms. Brown, do you have redirect?
 8
                   MS. BROWN: I don't believe I have
 9
10
         redirect. And I'm seeing my witnesses saying
11
         "none". Thank you.
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And
13
         Ms. Fabrizio?
                   MS. FABRIZIO: I do not actually have
14
15
         redirect. But, to the extent that Mr. Laflamme
16
         is able to respond to the issues raised about
17
         20-019, I would give the floor to him. I am not
18
         on that docket. So, I am not familiar with it at
19
         this point.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Yes.
21
         Definitely, Mr. Laflamme, if you have any
22
         testimony in response to my question or
23
         Commissioner Bailey's, it would be helpful.
24
    BY THE WITNESS:
```

A (Laflamme) Yes. In DW 20-019, as indicated before, there was a Staff recommendation for approval of the Company's 2020 QCPAC, based on its 2019 capital expenditures. And that is currently pending, that is currently pending before the Commissioners.

As Mr. Goodhue indicated, that I think Staff's position is that that particular proceeding is kind of in stasis right now, pending the Commission's decision on the temporary rates in this proceeding.

#### BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:

- Q But, to Commissioner Bailey's question, if the Settlement Agreement is approved, that doesn't have an implicit approval of the QCPAC in DW 20-019. That is actually being forgone?
- A (Laflamme) I don't believe that it does. And I may be speaking outside of my lane of expertise here, because I am not -- I am not an attorney. But, you know, it's my belief that the temporary rates are based on the books and records on file with -- on file with the Commission. And those books and records on file with the Commission include the improvements that were made by the

```
Company in 2019.
 1
 2
                   And I think -- I think, given the
 3
         reconciliation statute for temporary rates, I do
 4
         not believe that it's -- that a final
 5
         determination is required by the Commission at
 6
         this point to find that those capital
 7
         expenditures were prudent, used and useful.
 8
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
 9
         Bailey, do you have -- oh, you do. Go ahead.
10
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
11
         So, does that mean that we would be doing that
12
         determination as part of the rate case, because
13
         it's 2019, and that's the test year?
14
         (Laflamme) That would be -- that would be my
15
         unlegal opinion, yes.
16
                   COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
17
         That makes sense.
18
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Let's see.
19
         We need to deal with the exhibits. So, without
20
         objection, we will strike the ID on Exhibits 1
21
         through 3 and admit those as full exhibits. And
22
         we will also leave the record open and reserve
23
         Exhibit 4 for the record request, which will be
24
         for the corrected Bates Page 010 of Exhibit 2.
```

Anything else related to the exhibits?

[No verbal response.]

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Then, we will start with closings. And we will hear from Mr. Kreis first.

MR. KREIS: Thank you, Madam
Chairwoman. And thank you for calling on me
first.

As I've already stated, the Office of the Consumer Advocate is a signatory to the Settlement Agreement, and we urge its approval. Trimming back the temporary rate request to an across-the-board increase of about 14 percent, and zeroing out the QCPAC, seems like a reasonable step to take at this point in the proceeding.

Obviously, everything is fully reconciling back to the temporary rate effective date, which I remember is back in December, I believe. And, so, I think that there are relatively few issues for the Commission to gnaw over here.

I would assume it's fairly obvious, or at least it seems fairly obvious to me, that the

letter that the Staff of the Commission filed in 20-019, back on March 11, becomes a bit of a moot point at this point. Because, again, what the Company has agreed to do is reset the QCPAC back down to zero. And, obviously, in future years, it will gather steam again.

I don't think I have much else to say, other than to suggest that the Commission approve the Agreement. I want to assure everybody that there are plenty of issues in this rate case to gnaw over during the permanent phase. None of them are truly implicated by the Temporary Rate Settlement. And we look forward to working with, and I suppose possibly arguing with, the Company about the issues in the permanent rate case in due course.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Kreis. Commissioner Bailey, did you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No thanks.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.

Ms. Fabrizio.

1.3

2.2

MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I would say at this point, Staff

supports the Company's request for temporary rates in this docket. We believe that the Company's Petition for Temporary Rates meets the applicable requirements of RSA 378:27, and that appropriate customer rates will result when permanent rates are ultimately approved and the temporary rates are reconciled at the conclusion of this proceeding.

2.

1.3

2.2

The Company provided adequate notice to its customers of the proposed temporary rate impact. And, based on a preliminary review of the Company's permanent rate petition and related filings, Staff finds that the temporary rate proposal is reasonable and in the public interest.

Staff also supports approval of the Settlement presented today, which establishes an appropriate framework with which to proceed in considering the Company's request for a permanent change in rates.

Staff, therefore, recommends that the Commission approve the Petition for Temporary Rates and the Settlement before it today.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. And Mr. Myers.

MR. MYERS: Thank you. Thank you very much for this opportunity. I'll be brief.

I did listen to Donald Ware tell us the primary drivers of the increase, which included union and labor costs, purchased water costs, treatments costs, community wells, like the one that adjoins my backyard, insurance costs, property taxes, etcetera. And I do understand that a lot of work on the parts of all the people here went into this.

At the same time, I just do want to put on the record that, during the test year, the inflation rate in the United States was 1.8 percent, and, in the two previous years, 2.4 and 2.1. So, a 14 percent, and I understand it's a temporary rate increase, to me, and to my clients, is rate shock.

The 2019 Social Security increase was only 1.6 percent. And if you -- I would ask the Commission please to take those facts as administrative notice, because they have been in the papers, they have been in the media. Where

Social Security has only gone up 1.6 percent in the test rate -- in the test year, I think that a 14 percent temporary rate increase is rate shock.

1.3

2.2

Again, I do recognize that a lot of work has gone into considering these numbers and looking at the charts. At the same time, in the case of Appeal of Eastman Sewer Company, 138 New Hampshire 221, the Supreme Court noted that, in determining just and reasonable rates, the PUC "must balance the consumers' interest in paying no higher rates than are required", and that the protection of the Company's interests "must be secondary to the primary concern of the Commission, which is the protection of the consuming public."

So, that's why I did not sign off on the Agreement. And I would just note again, I know a lot of work has gone into this. But I would ask that a lot more work go into the final rates later this year.

And thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak, Commissioner.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr.

```
1
         Myers. Commissioner Bailey, do you have any
 2.
         questions?
 3
                    (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the
 4
                    negative.)
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Mr.
 6
         Lirette.
 7
                    MR. LIRETTE: Thank you, Chairwoman.
                    The Towns of Londonderry, Pelham,
 9
         Litchfield, and Hooksett are signatories to the
10
         Agreement. We agree to the rates as set forth by
11
         the terms of the Agreement.
12
                    And would just add, we reserve our
1.3
         right to challenge the permanent rates in the
         future in the final determination by the
14
         Commission.
15
16
                    Thank you.
17
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
18
         Husband.
19
                    MR. HUSBAND:
                                  Thank you, Chairwoman.
20
         At this time, I want to just thank the Commission
21
         for providing me this opportunity.
2.2
                    And say that I don't have much to say,
23
         except that I will speak more on the permanent
24
         rates.
                  I am a signatory to the Temporary Rates
```

1 Agreement, though, and I do support its 2. approval. 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 4 Husband. And Ms. Brown. 5 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Commissioners, 6 for your time today. 7 The points that the Company has put on 8 the record through the witnesses, Mr. Goodhue and Mr. Ware, I'm not going to reiterate. 9 But the 10 Company respectfully requests that the Commission 11 approve the Temporary Rate Settlement. Commission does have authority under the 12 1.3 temporary rate statute in RSA 378 to award 14 temporary rates. 15 The Company's books and records on file 16 show that, based on actual expenses, and we 17 understand, you know, the cost-of-living 18

argument, rate of inflation argument, but, based on actual expenses, the Company is not earning sufficient revenues to cover its costs to operate the system.

And the Commission has suspended the Company's rates, and thereby set in motion a confiscatory rate situation, which we are hoping

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to address with the temporary rate proposal, temporary rates, and full reconciliation at the conclusion of the case. We think that that will take care of the confiscatory nature of the suspended rates, given the fact that the Company is not earning its needed revenues.

1.3

2.2

The effective date, December 24th, has, as we stated through the witnesses, been amply noticed to the customers. And, so, we think it complies with the Commission's rules and the statutes, and, in particular, the 1980 Appeal of Pennichuck case that rates cannot be effective any earlier than the properly noticed tariffs. And the tariffs were indeed noticed for an effective date of December 24th when they were originally filed.

And, so, with those, and that summary, we respectfully ask that the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement.

But, given that we are now in May, and I hear that Commissioner Bailey may be leaving us in June, and this may be the last hearing that we have for this Company before her, I just wanted to publicly thank her service, her public service

```
1
         for decades, and wish her well in her next
 2.
         endeavor.
 3
                    And, again, thank you for your time
 4
         today.
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
 6
         Bailey.
 7
                    COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you,
         Ms. Brown.
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Well, thank
 9
         you, everyone, for your efforts today. And I do
10
11
         want to recognize the parties, and the witnesses
12
         specifically, for the amount of detail that you
1.3
         walked through for your presentation today.
14
         is very much appreciated, and not everybody does
15
         that. So, thank you for doing that today.
                    We will take this matter under
16
17
         advisement and issue -- oh, Ms. Brown, do you
18
         have your hand up?
19
                    MS. BROWN: Yes. And I'm sorry if the
20
         background is getting -- confusing it.
2.1
                    I forgot, in my closing, to address the
2.2
         point that you had asked of "what do the lawyers
23
         think about disposition of DW 20-019?"
24
                    If you would like me to opine on that,
```

1 I can?

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes. Let's do that before we close.

MS. BROWN: Given that there is the Staff recommendation there, and given that this is an ongoing program, the Commission could proceed with approving the plant that has already been, you know, opined on and investigated by Staff, and then defer the rate impact to the rate case, which we have teed up in temporary rates.

So, I apologize for using the term

"forego". I now see the confusion procedurally
that that has caused. But the Company just
merely seeks a different mechanism to recover the
revenues it seeks in the QCPAC.

So, in my mind, the Commission has two avenues. It can defer its opinion on "prudent, used and useful" on the assets in 20-119 [20-019?] to the rate case, or it can make it in the QCPAC filing, and just defer the rate impact to the rate case.

So, I think there are two proper avenues. And perhaps issuing a order in 20-019, and noticing it, would satisfy the notice

```
1
         provisions in that docket, and then refer
 2
         everyone to the rate impact in the rate case
 3
         proceeding.
 4
                   So, that's how I was seeing this
 5
         fleshing out. Thank you.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So, just to
 7
         clarify, the words "forego collection" could also
         be said to be "defer collection" to the rate
 8
 9
         case, is that --
10
                                That could be an
                   MS. BROWN:
11
         appropriate descriptive term. I mean,
12
         ultimately, the Company wants to recover the
1.3
         revenues for those assets. And it's not picky on
14
         which mechanism it's using. So, --
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So, they're not
16
         foregoing them forever?
17
                   MS. BROWN: Right. Or they're just
18
         being --
19
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Or it's not --
20
                   MS. BROWN: It's being absorbed, by
21
         this Temporary Rate Settlement, it's being
2.2
         absorbed into the rate case rate mechanism,
23
         rather than the QCPAC surcharge rate mechanism.
24
                   And, again, I apologize for the
```

```
1
         confusion, the wording.
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Is everyone
 3
         in agreement with Ms. Brown's representations
 4
         related to that? The signatories to the
 5
         Settlement Agreement? Any objection to that?
 6
                   MR. KREIS: I believe the OCA agrees
 7
         with Ms. Brown's characterizations.
 8
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
         Ms. Fabrizio?
 9
10
                   MS. FABRIZIO: It sounds logical, from
11
         my perspective. Again, I'm not -- I'm not aware
         of the details, but I understood Ms. Brown's
12
1.3
         argument to be efficient.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Husband?
14
15
         I think you're on mute.
16
                   MR. HUSBAND: I'm sorry. I, frankly,
17
         do not know enough about the issue at this time
18
         to take a position.
19
                    I have no reason to question anything
20
         that Attorney Brown said. So, --
2.1
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Mr.
2.2
         Lirette?
23
                   MR. LIRETTE: No objection.
24
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Commissioner
```

```
1
         Bailey, do you have any follow-up questions based
 2
         on that?
                    (Commissioner Bailey indicating in the
 3
                    negative.)
 4
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you
 6
         for that clarification.
 7
                    All right. Now, we'll go back to where
 8
         we were, which is the Commission will take this
 9
         matter under advisement and issue an order.
10
                    Thank you, everyone, for today. We are
11
         adjourned.
12
                    COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thanks, everyone.
13
                    (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
14
                    at 3:30 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```